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Fatty acids and their derivatives have been used as emulsifiers, lubricants, etc., in drilling fluids. Waste vegetable oil is a good source of triglycerides. Fatty acids and the methyl ester of fatty acids can be isolated from triglycerides by a simple base hydrolysis. These in-house developed products show exceptional properties as primary emulsifiers for invert-emulsion oil-based mud (OBM), and as lubricants for water-based mud and base oil for OBM.

Triglycerides present in waste vegetable oil are the potential source of fatty acids and their derivatives, which have several applications in the drilling fluids industry.
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ABSTRACT

The oil and gas industry has a long-standing initiative to develop and use the most environmental solutions in the exploration and recovery of oil and gas resources. As part of this continual effort, research is ongoing to create eco-friendly, virtually nontoxic and readily biodegradable base fluids, lubricants, and emulsifiers to successfully complete drilling and completion requirements, without causing any damage to the environment or other resources.

There is a significant volume of waste vegetable oil (WVO) generated by the food and catering industry, which has no aromatic oil content, is virtually nontoxic, and readily biodegradable, and therefore, can be a potential source for the development of eco-friendly base fluids, lubricants, emulsifiers, and spotting fluids to be in the forefront of best drilling practices. This article describes a set of newly developed green mud additives that have been synthesized using waste cooking oil generated by the food and catering industry. Recycling of the waste cooking oil not only provides a technical solution for the oil and gas industry, but also addresses the disposal problems of the food and catering industry.

Experimental results demonstrating the various applications of the newly developed green products indicate similar or better performance compared to the commercially available equivalent products used by the oil and gas industry. Subsequently, the newly developed products are viable alternatives for some of the imported non-eco-friendly or less eco-friendly oil-based products, used for exploration and exploitation of oil and gas resources. Due to the replacement of equivalent imported products, these locally developed products will significantly reduce the mud additive import cost. Also, due to the eco-friendly nature of these products, they will help in the exploration and exploitation of oil and gas resources without causing any negative impact on other marine and terrestrial resources.

INTRODUCTION

The oil and gas industry uses various types of chemicals and additives to design various fluid systems to explore and exploit hydrocarbon resources to meet the global energy demand. Some of these additives and products are not eco-friendly and therefore have serious limitations in their applications, due to their detrimental impact on the surrounding environments, including ecosystems, habitats, water resources, farming lands, the local population, and rig site workers, etc.1,3.

That’s why the regional, federal and global environmental agencies are enacting increasingly strict environmental rules and regulations to safeguard the local, regional, and global environments, and also to avoid any short- and long-term detrimental impact on marine and terrestrial resources, habitats, population, etc. Due to the concern of the global communities, the industry has realized the need for a replacement for the non-eco-friendly additives and fluids — to be in the forefront of the best drilling practices. There is an expected annual growth of 7% to 10% of eco-friendly lubricants in the U.S. market over the next few years, compared to an overall 2% growth rate of conventional lubricants. This is reflected in the increasing research to develop green additives and base fluids to replace or reduce the use of less eco-friendly additives and products3, 4-6.

Currently, many countries of the world have prohibited the use of non-eco-friendly additives and fluids in environmentally sensitive areas, to prevent damage and degradation of the environment and surrounding ecosystems. The operating companies all over the world take extra care to avoid any damage and degradation of the environment by introducing new products in the market and providing well-defined operational guidelines. In spite of strict operational guidelines, accidental spills, leakage and discharge of any less eco-friendly products in the surrounding environment can cause environmental damage and pollution to the water systems, farming land, top soil, coastal areas, etc. Therefore, identification of a better alternative is always encouraged by the industry.

The oil-based muds (OBMs) leaked or disposed after washing the mud tanks, mud pumps, and flow lines, may travel into the surrounding ecosystems, water reserves, subsurface water table, etc., and can cause serious contamination due to the incorporation of benzene-like toxins in the surface and subsurface water system. Its detrimental impact — both on the soil and drinking water — could be a serious health hazard for the surrounding environment, habitats, and...
population. The rain and storm water runoff can also carry the residual hydrocarbon wastes into the canals, lakes, rivers, and oceans, leading to damage and degradation of the water system, and also the contamination of the marine and coastal areas. Subsequently, replacement of the diesel, mineral, and synthetic oil-based products by eco-friendly, nontoxic and readily biodegradable products is the best strategy to safeguard the global environment.

Vegetable oils (VOs) generated by the agricultural industry, and the waste vegetable oils (WVOs) generated by the food and catering industry, have a renewable source of supply for sustainable product development, and are potential candidates for green additives development for the oil and gas field, and other industrial applications. According to Amanullah and Arfaj (2017)⁷ the food industry has the priority for VOs over other industries to avoid any negative impact on the consumer market; therefore, WVO is the most suitable candidate.

The WVOs produced by the food and catering industry have no major industrial uses other than their use in manufacturing some soap and animal feeds, and is thereby usually discarded as a waste product, especially in underdeveloped countries. According to Chhetri et al. (2008)⁸ even though some of this WVO is used for soap production, a major part of it is discharged into the environment due to the lack of any recycling and reuse processing for other industrial applications. Like the pure VOs, the waste cooking oil is eco-friendly, readily biodegradable, and virtually nontoxic and organic in nature. It has no aromatic and sulfur content like hydrocarbon oils and also has no occupational health and safety (OHS) issues like diesel and mineral oils (MOs). Waste cooking oil is a perpetual waste product generated by the food and catering industry, and thereby provides a sustainable source of supply of raw materials for green product development for current and future industrial applications. Because of the lack of a viable disposal route, most of the WVO is discharged into the surrounding environment. Therefore, its use as a raw material for green product development will solve the overall disposal problem, and will also ensure a sustainable source of supply of eco-friendly additives for exploration and exploitation of oil and gas resources, without any damage or degradation of other marine and terrestrial resources.

The high fire and flash points of VO, along with WVO, ensures a much lower risk of causing fire hazards. Therefore, its application in high-pressure, high temperature (HPHT) environments will reduce the probability and likelihood of causing a fire during handling, mixing, transporting, etc. Due to the absence of any toxic materials in the WVO composition, it has no or negligible occupational health issues, and is therefore very friendly for occupational health, safety, and environmental purposes. The safe nature of the WVOs and their derivatives dramatically reduces the risk of creating any occupational health hazards. Amanullah and Arfaj (2017)⁷, Srivastava and Prasad (2000)⁹, How et al. (2012)¹⁰, and Sidibé et al. (2010)¹¹, have all provided a comprehensive description of the advantages of vegetable or WVO-based base fluids and other products, over diesel and mineral oil-based products.

**DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY**

The goal of this innovative research of using WVO as a raw material to produce eco-friendly green products is far-reaching. One of the major objectives is to provide a sustainable source of locally developed eco-friendly products to replace the equivalent commercial products imported for oil and gas field applications. The second objective of the research is to provide a complete localization of product development by identifying eco-friendly raw materials that are available locally for green product development for the oil and gas field applications. Therefore, emphasis was given not to use any raw materials that are not locally available. The third objective is to provide a sustainable source of raw material development, e.g., lubricants, emulsifiers, and base stocks, as the hydrocarbon-based oil has a finite source of supply.

The fourth objective is to solve the disposal problem of food and catering industries by identifying a viable commercial route for the disposal of a huge volume of WVO generated by the industry each year. The fifth objective of this innovative research is to play a pivotal role in the growth of existing industries and development of new industries and enterprises to capture the local, regional, and part of the global additive market. The sixth objective is the creation of new job opportunities for the public and low income people to uplift their social and economic conditions and fulfill the citizenship charter of the company.

**PHYSICAL PROCESSING AND CHEMICAL MODIFICATION**

The WVO as received is not suitable to use as a base fluid, emulsifier or lubricant for oil and gas field applications due to some unfavorable technical characteristics such as the presence of suspended particles and food debris, high viscous
characteristics, low oxidative stability, and poor low temperature flow behavior, etc. Subsequently, an initial physical treatment was conducted using a filter paper, and a low-pressure test chamber to remove the dispersed particles and any large food debris from the waste cooking oil. After physical treatment, chemical modification was done by water hydrolysis and methanol (CH$_3$OH) esterification to improve the viscous characteristics, low temperature flow behavior, and interface infiltration characteristics. Figures 1 and 2 show the two chemical modification methods used and the reaction products generated by the interactions. Figure 1 shows esterification using CH$_3$OH and sodium hydroxide (NaOH), and Fig. 2 shows a chemical modification using water and NaOH.

GREEN PRODUCTS

The WVO-based base stocks developed by hydrolysis and an esterification process were used to develop eco-friendly OBM and a spotting fluid known as ARC Eco-Spot. The base stocks were also used to assess their suitability as lubricants, to reduce the frictional resistance of water-based muds (WBM) and emulsifiers, to create a stable and tight emulsion in invert emulsion mud systems. A detailed description of the experimental results along with the interpretation of the data are given next.

Eco-Friendly Base Stock

The esterification process provided an eco-friendly base stock with viscous characteristics similar to mineral, diesel, and a highly refined Saudi Arabian oil (SAO) widely used as the base stocks for conventional OBM development. Figure 3 shows the rheological profile (viscous characteristics) of the original WVO and WVO ester produced after chemical modification, along with the rheological profiles of diesel and MO. The data clearly indicates very high viscous characteristics for the WVO. That’s why the as received WVO is not suitable for development of an OBM system with desirable mud properties. After chemical processing, the refined WVO (RWVO) shows a viscous profile very similar to the viscous profiles of diesel and MOs. This indicates its suitability to use as a base stock for a new generation of OBM development with technical performance similar or equivalent to the conventional diesel and mineral OBMs. Due to the eco-friendly nature of the base stock, it will provide an OBM system with environmental characteristics similar to WBM systems.

The comparison of plastic viscosity (PV) values of the MO shown in Fig. 4 with the PV values of VO, refined VO (RVO), WVO, RWVO, and SAO indicate extremely high PV values for VO and WVO with respect to the PV value of the MO, but close PV values for the RVO and RWVO. This indicates that the original VO and WVO are not suitable for a functional OBM formulation, due to excessive PV values. After chemical modification, these oils have PV values close to the MO’s PV, and is thereby suitable for OBM formulations.

Figure 5 shows the analyses of the PV ratios of VO and MO, WVO and MO, RVO and MO, and RWVO and MO. The data indicates more than 11 times higher PV ratios for the as received VO and WVO, but less than 1.5 PV ratios for the RVO and RWVO. This again demonstrates the suitability of the chemically modified VO and WVO for developing a new generation of OBM systems with superior environmental properties. The marginally higher PV values of RVO and RWVO will have a positive impact as it will reduce the amount of geltone necessary to produce desirable mud properties for efficient cuttings suspension and hole cleaning.

Eco-Friendly OBM

An eco-friendly RWVO OBM with a 70/30 oil-water ratio
was formulated using the WVO-based base stock to assess its suitability to formulate an eco-friendly OBM system. Due to the non-aqueous and eco-friendly nature of the base stock, it will perform technically like a conventional OBM system and environmentally like a conventional WBM system, to explore oil and gas resources without causing any detrimental impact to other marine and terrestrial resources.

The PV and yield point (YP) data of the SAO and the WVO OBM, Fig. 6, clearly indicates a much better rheological property for the WVO OBM compared to the PV and YP values of a conventional OBM system. Therefore, the newly developed RWVO OBM will provide better cuttings carrying capacity, and also hole cleaning efficiency compared to the conventional OBM system. The analyses of the 10 seconds and 10 minutes gel strength of the SAO and the WVO OBM, Fig. 7, also indicate superior properties for the RWVO OBM system. The higher gel strength of the mud will ensure excellent cuttings suspension capacity after the cession of the drilling operation. Measurement at room temperature, at 120 °F and also after thermal aging at 250 °F, indicates superior properties for the RWVO OBM system compared to the conventional OBM system. Therefore, the newly developed RWVO OBM will provide better cuttings carrying capacity, and also hole cleaning efficiency compared to the conventional OBM system. The analyses of the 10 seconds and 10 minutes gel strength of the SAO and the WVO OBM, Fig. 7, also indicate superior properties for the RWVO OBM system. The higher gel strength of the mud will ensure excellent cuttings suspension capacity after the cession of the drilling operation. Measurement at room temperature, at 120 °F and also after thermal aging at 250 °F, indicates superior properties for the RWVO OBM system compared to the conventional OBM system.

Green Lubricants Development

Two methods of chemical modification of the WVO, previously shown in Fig. 2, provided two base stocks as the end products. Initially, the first base stock developed by esterification of the WVO was tested using a standard lubricity tester to evaluate its performance as an eco-friendly lubricant. This lubricant product is known as ARC Eco-lube. Figure 8 clearly indicates that the RWVO derived lubricant, ARC Eco-Lube, has good friction reducing properties. That’s why it was able to knock down the coefficient of friction (COF) value of bentonite mud from 0.344 to 0.126 — an approximate 63% reduction of the COF value of the original bentonite mud. Comparison of the lubricating performance of the ARC Eco-Lube with respect to the commercial green lubricant (CGL) indicates somewhat better performance for the ARC Eco-Lube with respect to the CGL.

In the case of the low solids non-dispersed (LSND) mud system, the ARC Eco-Lube showed lubricating performance similar or better than diesel, SAO, and MOs, and thereby demonstrates good lubricating potential, Fig. 9. The data clearly indicates an approximate 29% decrease in the COF value with respect to the original COF value of the LSND mud. Comparison of the lubricating performance of the ARC Eco-Lube with respect to the CGL indicates better performance for the CGL. This performance difference may be due to the presence of additional lubricating chemicals in the CGL. It may be mentioned that no additional materials were added in the ARC Eco-Lube to enhance its lubricating potential. The data undoubtedly proves that the base stock developed after esterification has significant potential to be used as a green lubricant for oil and gas field applications.

The second eco-friendly lubricant developed after treatment of the WVO using water + NaOH is known as the ARC Veg-Lube. Its performance was tested and evaluated in four different WBMs such as calcium chloride (CaCl₂) mud, LSND mud, a potassium chloride (KCl) polymer mud, and bentonite mud, Fig. 10. Again, a CGL was also used for comparative

---

**Fig. 6.** Comparison of PV and YP of SAO and WVO OBM.

**Fig. 7.** Comparison of 10 seconds and 10 minutes gel strength of the SAO and WVO OBM.

**Fig. 8.** The COF value of bentonite mud, bentonite mud + ARC Eco-Lube, and bentonite mud + CGL.

**Fig. 9.** The COF value of the LSND mud, LSND mud + ARC Eco-Lube, LSND mud + diesel, LSND mud + SAO, LSND mud + MO, and LSND mud + CGL.
assessment of the performance of the newly developed green lubricant ARC Veg-Lube. The data clearly shows that even at a 1% concentration, the newly developed green lubricant was able to significantly knock down the COF values of all the mud systems. This observation is reflected by a 46% reduction in the COF value of the CaCl₂ mud, 45% reduction of the COF value of the LSND mud, 86% reduction of the COF value of the KCl polymer mud, and an 82% reduction of the COF value of the bentonite mud. With respect to the 3% CGL containing mud, the 1% ARC Veg-Lube containing mud showed a lower performance in the CaCl₂ mud, a similar performance in the LSND mud, and a better performance in the KCl polymer and bentonite mud.

**Eco-Friendly Emulsifier**

An eco-friendly emulsifier known as ARC Eco-Mul was synthesized by chemical modification of WVO by treating it with water and a NaOH solution to isolate the glycerol and fatty acids. After the glycerol and fatty acid separation reaction, the alkaline fatty acid was washed using brine, followed by acid neutralization to prepare the base stock and use as an eco-friendly emulsifier for the creation of a tight emulsion in an invert emulsion mud system. To evaluate the performance of the newly developed emulsifier, an OBM was formulated and prepared using the newly developed ARC Eco-Mul as the primary emulsifier. Another mud was also prepared using the same amount of a commercial primary emulsifier known as Invermul®, Table 1. The effectiveness of the new and the conventional emulsifiers were evaluated by measuring the electrical stability (ES) values, and fluid loss behavior of the mud systems.

Figure 11 shows the ES values of the OBM + commercial primary emulsifier containing mud, along with the ES values of the OBM + ARC Eco-Mul. The results indicate good ES values for both of the mud systems. A comparative assessment of the ES values of the two mud systems shows higher ES values for the OBM containing commercial emulsifier. Both of them created a tight emulsion in the invert emulsion mud systems. This was reflected by having no separation of the phases, both before and after the hot rolling at 300 °F. Therefore, the results demonstrate the suitability of the WVO-based emulsifier for designing OBM systems with ES values that are sufficient to create a tight emulsion in the mud system.

Figure 12 shows the HPHT spurt and fluid loss behavior of the new and commercial emulsifier containing muds measured at 300 °F and 500 psi. The data clearly indicates the superior fluid loss behavior of the ARC Eco-Mul containing mud system compared to the mud containing the commercial primary emulsifier. Due to the ability of the emulsifier to create a tighter and stable emulsion, there was no spurt loss in the mud containing the newly developed primary emulsifier. The tightness of the emulsion is further reflected by a very low all oil ES values for the OBM containing commercial primary emulsifier.

![Figure 11](image1.png)

**Table 1. Invert emulsion mud formulation using Invermul® and ARC Eco-Mul**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mud System Formulation</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARC Eco-Mul</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAO (ml)</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invermul® (ml)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC Eco-Mul (ml)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ-mul (ml)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime (g)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geltone (g)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duratone (g)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brine (61 g CaCl₂ in 85 cc water) (ml)</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barite (g)</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 12](image2.png)

**Fig. 10. Comparative evaluation of the performance of WVO oil-based green lubricant — ARC Veg-Lube in the presence of various WBM systems.**

**Fig. 11. The ES values of the OBM + commercial emulsifier containing mud, and the OBM + ARC Eco-Mul.**

**Fig. 12. The HPHT spurt and fluid loss of the Invermul® and ARC Eco-Mul formulation (tested at 300 °F and a pressure of 500 psi).**
HPHT fluid loss. The OBM system containing the commercial primary emulsifier has a higher fluid loss and slight spurt loss. OBM systems with different concentrations of ARC Eco-Mul were formulated to identify the optimum concentration range of the ARC Eco-Mul, Table 2. API and HPHT fluid loss behavior were used to define the optimum concentration range.

Figure 13 shows the API fluid loss behavior of the OBM system in the presence of different concentrations of the ARC Eco-Mul. The data clearly shows the requirement of at least 6 cc of ARC Eco-Mul to maintain the spurt and API fluid loss behavior close to zero. OBM containing 12 ppb of ARC Eco-Mul shows virtually no spurt and API fluid loss. According to the API test results, it could be concluded that an optimum concentration range of 8 ppb to 12 ppb will be able to create a tight emulsion with desirable mud properties.

Figure 14 shows the HPHT spurt loss measured at 300 °F and 500 psi using various concentrations of ARC Eco-Mul. The data clearly indicates some spurt loss at a concentration of 6 cc/350 cc lab mud, and a higher spurt loss with a reduced concentration. The OBM with an ARC Eco-Mul concentration of 12 ppb shows virtually no spurt and API fluid loss. Based on this information, it can be concluded that an optimum concentration range of 8 ppb to 12 ppb will be able to create a tight emulsion with desirable mud properties.

Figure 15 shows the HPHT fluid loss measured at 300 °F and 500 psi using various concentrations of ARC Eco-Mul. The data clearly indicates acceptable HPHT fluid loss behavior at a concentration of 6 cc/350 cc lab mud, and a higher spurt loss with a reduced concentration. The OBM with an ARC Eco-Mul concentration of 12 ppb shows virtually no spurt loss. Based on this information, it can be concluded that a concentration range of 8 cc to 12 cc for 350 cc of lab mud will provide desirable HPHT fluid loss behavior.

Figure 16 shows experimentally determined SBM of the original and various spotting fluid soaked mud cakes, after 6 hours of soaking time.

### Table 2. Screening of the concentration of ARC Eco-Mul to identify the optimum range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mud System</th>
<th>80/20</th>
<th>80/20</th>
<th>80/20</th>
<th>80/20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O/W Ratio</td>
<td>80/20</td>
<td>80/20</td>
<td>80/20</td>
<td>80/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAO (cc)</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC Eco-Mul (cc)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ-Mul (cc)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime (g)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geltone (g)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duratone (g)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brine (61 g CaCl₂ in 56.6 cc water)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barite (g)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 13.** The API spurt and fluid loss for formulations having different concentrations of ARC Eco-Mul.

**Fig. 14.** The HPHT spurt loss for formulations having different concentrations of ARC Eco-Mul (tested at 300 °F and a pressure of 500 psi).

**Fig. 15.** The HPHT fluid loss for formulations having different concentrations of ARC Eco-Mul (tested at 300 °F and a pressure of 500 psi).

Eco-Friendly Spotting Fluid

Pipe sticking while drilling is one of the major drilling challenges that we face in most of our drilling operations. Some of the critical factors that can trigger a pipe sticking event are...
improper drilling practices, inadequate hole cleaning, wellbore instability, excessive reaming or back reaming, poor mud rheology, deposition of thick mud cake, the presence of a high permeable formation, quality of the mud, and the deposited mud cakes, etc.12-15.

Various types of aqueous and non-aqueous spotting fluids are used by the industry to recover a stuck pipe. Conventional non-aqueous spotting fluids are commonly designed using diesel, MOs, or base stocks derived from these oils. Due to negative environmental characteristics, poor biodegradation properties and unacceptable toxicity, these oils have severe restrictions for sensitive environments. Therefore, the industry needs an eco-friendly base stock to formulate green spotting fluids to overcome the limitations of non-eco-friendly spotting fluids used by the industry. Bearing this in mind, an eco-friendly base stock derived from WVO has been used to formulate a green spotting fluid known as ARC Eco-Spot. Table 3 shows the formulation of the ARC Eco-Spot along with three conventional spotting fluids to compare and evaluate the performance of the newly developed ARC Eco-Spot.

To evaluate the performance of the ARC Eco-Spot, tests were conducted to determine the sticking bond modulus (SBM), and ultimate sticking bond strength (USBS) of a 10 mm thick mud cake, deposited by a weighted KCl polymer mud in the absence of any spotting fluid, to use as baseline information. Table 3 lists the various spotting fluids used to evaluate their ability to reduce the SBM and the USBS. The mud cake was prepared by running a filtration test for more than 48 hours at 100 psi and at an ambient temperature. The tests were conducted after 6 and 16 hours of soaking time, using mud cake of a similar thickness, and deposited by the same drilling mud, i.e., weighted KCl polymer mud. Figures 16 to 19 show the SBM and the USBS of the base mud cake, and also the mud cakes tested after 6 and 16 hours of soaking time in the presence of various spotting fluids, respectively.

Figure 16 shows the average SBM of the original mud cake and also the mud cakes soaked for 6 hours in various spotting fluids. A comparison of average SBMs of the original mud cake and the various spotting fluid soaked mud cakes indicate that all the spotting fluids reduce the SBM of the mud cake as a result of weakening, damage, and degradation of the sticking bonds, due to the interactions of the spotting fluids with the mud cakes at the mud cake spherical foot interface. The data further shows that the glycol-based spotting fluid, fluid C, shows the maximum reduction in SBM, the non-environment friendly spotting fluid, fluid B, caused the second maximum reduction in the SBM after 6 hours of soaking time, and spotting fluid A shows the minimum reduction in SBM.
The ARC Eco-Spot shows a much higher reduction in SBM values than spotting fluid A, but a slightly higher value than spotting fluids B and C. As the difference of performance between spotting fluid B and ARC Eco-Spot is marginal, its performance is comparable to the performance of the commercial spotting fluid B. The data clearly indicates that the newly developed ARC Eco-Spot has either a similar or better performance, compared to the two non-eco-friendly non-aqueous based spotting fluids, fluids A or B.

Figure 17 shows the average SBMs of the original mud cake and also the mud cakes soaked for 16 hours in various spotting fluids. A comparison of SBM values determined after 16 hours of soaking time indicates a higher reduction of SBM value for spotting fluid B compared to other spotting fluids. The lowest reduction of SBM for spotting fluid A after 6 hours of soaking time, and the highest reduction of SBM after 16 hours of soaking time indicates that some fluids may need a longer soaking time for effective damage and degradation of the mud cake.

Interestingly, spotting fluid B indicates an increase in SBM value after 16 hours of soaking time compared to the SBM value determined after 6 hours of soaking time. This indicates that spotting fluid B has a time dependent stiffening effect, and therefore, causes an increase in SBM. This indicates that for some spotting fluids, soaking beyond an optimum time period may make it more difficult to recover a stuck pipe. Subsequently, identification of the optimum soaking time of various spotting fluids is very important to recover a stuck pipe easily and quickly. The newly developed ARC Eco-Spot showed no or negligible time dependent stiffening effect, and therefore has a superior long-term behavior compared to spotting fluid B. This fluid causes degradation and weakening of sticking bonds within a short time period (less than 6 hours), and therefore has a superior short-term behavior compared to spotting fluid A. Recovery of a stuck pipe after a short soaking time has high technical and economic benefits.

Figure 18 shows the average USBS of the original mud cake and also the mud cakes soaked for 6 hours in various spotting fluids. The comparison of the average USBS of the original mud cake and the mud cake soaked for 6 hours indicate that all of the spotting fluids reduced the USBS of the mud cake as a result of weakening, damage, and degradation of the sticking bonds, due to the interactions of the spotting fluids with the mud cake. The data further shows that spotting fluid C caused the maximum reduction in USBS after 6 hours of soaking time, and spotting fluid A has the minimum reduction in USBS. The ARC Eco-Spot shows a much higher reduction in USBS values than spotting fluid A, but a slightly lower reduction than spotting fluid B.

Figure 19 shows the average USBS of the original mud cake and also the mud cakes soaked for 16 hours in various spotting fluids. The comparison of USBS values determined after 16 hours of soaking time indicates a slightly higher reduction of USBS value for spotting fluid A, compared to spotting fluid
fluids B and ARC Eco-Mul. The glycol-based spotting fluid C showed the maximum reduction in USBS. This is consistent with the findings previously described. As before, spotting fluid B indicates an increase in USBS after 16 hours of soaking time, due to the time dependent stiffening effect of this fluid. This highlights again that some spotting fluids can reduce the ease of recovery of a stuck pipe, if soaked beyond an optimum time period due to the time dependent enhancement of the SBM and strength (USBS). Therefore, care should be taken to determine the optimum soaking time to improve the ease of recovery of a stuck pipe. The ARC Eco-Spot fluid has shown negligible time dependent stiffening and hardening effect, and therefore has a superior long-term behavior compared to spotting fluid B.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The huge amount of WVO produced by the food industry locally, regionally, and globally can effectively be used for other industrial applications, if appropriate treatment, processing, upgrading, and formulation are done to fulfill the functional tasks.
2. Refined WVO-based products developed after chemical modification of waste cooking oil has the potential to provide a suite of eco-friendly additives to overcome the current and future environmental challenges faced by the oil and gas industry.
3. Base stocks, emulsifiers and lubricants developed using the waste cooking oil, demonstrated similar or better performance, compared to commercially available equivalent products supplied by various vendors and service companies.
4. The eco-friendly OBM system formulated using the WVO showed better rheological and gel strength properties, compared to a conventional OBM, and therefore, is expected to show better technical performance without any negative impact to the surrounding environment.
5. A comparative evaluation of the ARC Eco-Spot performance in reducing the SBM and USBS, with respect to some commercial spotting fluids used by the industry, indicates that it has the ability to outperform some of the commercial spotting fluids.
6. The green lubricants developed using WVO as the raw material could provide a viable alternative to imported green lubricant products, and therefore can significantly reduce the additive import cost.
7. The renewable nature of WVOs, with the potential to generate a huge volume annually, indicates these oils could be a sustainable source of raw materials for green product development for various industrial applications.
8. The recycling and reusing of WVO for oil and gas field applications will solve the disposal problem of a huge amount of WVO produced by the food and catering industry.
9. The superior fire and flash point characteristics of WVO-based products ensures higher handling, transportation and operating safety, and therefore reduces the likelihood of fire hazards and operational risk.
10. The excellent OHS characteristics of VO-based green products ensures no detrimental impact on the health of workers.
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Iron Sulfide Deposition in Sour Gas Wells: A Root Cause Analysis

Dr. Tao Chen, Dr. Qiwei Wang, Dr. Fakuen F. Chang, and Amro E. Mukhles

ABSTRACT

Iron sulfide is one of the exotic scales formed in oil and gas fields, particularly for those deep sour gas wells producing from high-pressure, high temperature (HPHT) reservoirs. Compared to the conventional carbonate and sulfate scale, the mitigation of iron sulfide deposition is notoriously difficult.

To develop a suitable mitigation strategy, it is essential to understand the formation mechanisms of iron sulfide in the given production system. In this work, we combined laboratory tests, thermodynamic modeling, and field monitoring to understand the source of iron, and the mechanisms of iron sulfide deposition in sour gas wells during acidizing treatment and the production stage.

The study results indicate that iron sulfide deposition in sour gas wells is a corrosion-induced scaling problem. During acidizing treatment, a high concentration of iron is released from the tubular due to acid attack, despite a corrosion inhibitor being used in the stimulation fluid package. Large amounts of iron sulfide can precipitate when spent acid mixes and reacts with hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) in the reservoir, and potentially causes severe formation damage. During the production stage, the iron released from the tubular due to corrosion in the produced water under HPHT, is the major contribution of iron sulfide deposited at the surface of the tubing. These iron sulfide deposits, although appearing as a porous layer, can protect the downhole completion from the highly corrosive fluids, which leads to the unexpected long service lives of the mild carbon steel tubulars in many wells. Accelerated corrosion can occur when the protective iron sulfide film or deposit is disturbed, or if there is only a partial coverage.

This article presents a fundamental study to understand the root cause of iron sulfide deposition in sour gas wells. The study results demonstrate that effective corrosion inhibition is key to mitigate the iron sulfide deposition problem in the sour gas wells.

INTRODUCTION

Iron sulfide deposition is an exotic scale deposited during oil and gas production, especially for deep sour gas wells producing from high-pressure, high temperature (HPHT) reservoirs. It can cause flow assurance problems, such as restriction of downhole surveillance and intervention.

Over the past few decades, great efforts have been made to understand the conventional oil field scale formation and inhibition, such as calcium carbonate, barium sulfate, and calcium sulfate. Compared to these conventional mineral scale deposits, iron sulfide as an exotic scale has received less attention for a few reasons. First, some iron sulfide crystals often form a softer scale than calcium carbonate. This scale may not always block tubing to the same degree that other mineral scales do. Therefore, it may be seen as less of a problem than other mineral scales. The second problem associated with iron sulfide is that it is much more difficult to study in the laboratory than other common mineral scales — keeping the system oxygen-free — and the reproducibility of the iron sulfide tests are two major challenges. Third, iron sulfide is present in several crystalline forms that have different sulfur to iron ratios, which makes the research complex. These challenges have limited the progress of research on iron sulfide scale formation and inhibition in the past.

Compared to the conventional carbonate and sulfate scale, the mitigation of iron sulfide deposition is notoriously difficult. Both chemical and mechanical methods have been used to remove downhole iron sulfide scale. Hydrochloric (HCl) acid based scale dissolvers were applied to chemically remove the deposits. Heavy corrosion to the production string, the casing, and the generation of hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) during descaling jobs are major concerns and barriers to the application of this type of scale dissolver in these sour gas wells. Mechanical descaling can remove iron sulfide deposited in the tubings, but it is costly and time-consuming. In addition, mechanical descaling cannot approach and remove the scale deposited in the near wellbore region.

In recent years, extensive efforts have been devoted to understanding the iron sulfide scale formation mechanisms and to identify effective scale management strategies for the high temperature sour gas wells. Our previous study concluded that tubing corrosion is one of the major sources of iron for iron sulfide surface deposition in downhole tubulars during production. This article presents a fundamental study to further understand the mechanisms of iron sulfide surface deposition in...
sour gas wells during both the production stage and acidizing treatment by combining scale modeling and laboratory tests. Such efforts will contribute to understanding the mechanisms of iron sulfide formation and developing a suitable strategy to manage the iron sulfide deposition in the downhole tubulars and near the wellbore matrix.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Iron Sulfide Deposition during Acid Stimulation

The iron sulfide scale prediction during acid stimulation in this study is based on a bullhead matrix acidizing treatment conducted in a sour gas well. There were three major stages of fluid injection during the acidizing treatment, including injection of organic solvent, HCl acid, and treated water. This study focuses on acid injection to prevent potential iron sulfide scale deposits. In this treatment, 972 bbl of inhibited HCl acid — 26 wt% — was injected in the reservoir through a 4½” diameter 1,300 ft long tubing made of API T-95 carbon steel. The pumping rate of HCl acid was six barrels per minute (bpm) to 16 bpm, and the contact time for the tubing and acid was 16 to 43 minutes.

Corrosion coupon tests were conducted to collect data of the corrosion rate and iron released from the tubing during the acid injection stage of the acidizing treatment. T-95 test coupons with an area of 38.96 cm² were polished and pre-weighed prior to soaking in 30 ml of corrosion inhibited 26 wt% HCl acid. The tests were performed at 125 °C. After half an hour, the coupons were pulled out and washed, and then dried for final weighing. The iron released from the tubing during acid injection was calculated.

The ScaleSoftPitzer prediction model was used to simulate the iron sulfide deposition in the sour gas wells during acid stimulation. The model is designed to calculate thermodynamic equilibrium states for 11 different minerals, including iron sulfide, and is based upon the Pitzer theory of electrolytes.

The scale prediction calculations provide values for the saturation ratio (SR) or saturation index (SI), where SI = log₁₀SR, parameters indicating the thermodynamic driving force for the formation of each scale type and the possible mass of scale precipitate. The software — and other similar scale prediction codes — calculate the supersaturation ratio using either the ion pairing or the Pitzer equation. It can be used to provide a guide to the likely nature and extent of the scaling challenge and to investigate the impact of a process change on the likely severity of scaling. It is also the case that different programs may interpret the level of risks slightly different.

The formula for the SR is given in Eqn. 1:

\[
SR = (a_1 \times a_2)/K(PT^{1/2})
\]

where \( a = \gamma \times C \). Here, \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \) are the activity of scaling cation and anion in the solution, respectively. \( K \) is normally called the solubility product, which depends on pressure, \( P \), and temperature, \( T \). \( C \) is the concentration of the ions in the solution, and \( \gamma \) is the ionic activity coefficient.

Scale can occur at any point in the oil and gas production system where supersaturation is generated. A supersaturated solution is the primary cause of scale formation. The degree of supersaturation is the driving force for the precipitation reaction and implies the possibilities for scale precipitation.

In terms of thermodynamics, three possibilities exist in terms of scale formation from the solution, Table 1. (1) SR < 1: The solution is under saturated and scale formation is not thermodynamically feasible. (2) SR = 1: The solution is saturated. The scale formation and dissolution rate in the solution is the same and no scale is formed in the solution. (3) SR > 1: The solution is supersaturated and scale formation is thermodynamically possible.

In this study, the thermodynamic scale prediction model was carried out with the input of acid, spent acid and a typical formation water chemistry, reservoir conditions, and production rates of a sour gas well. Table 2 lists the typical formation water chemistries, gas analysis (%), gas-water production rates, and the reservoir pressure and temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation Water Chemistry (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO₃⁻</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas Analysis (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reservoir Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (psi)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Interpretation of scale prediction results

Table 2. The typical formation water chemistries, gas analysis (%), gas-water production rates, and the reservoir pressure and temperature applied in scale prediction.
Iron Sulfide Deposition during Production

A newly developed downhole corrosion and scale monitoring (DCSM) tool has been designed and developed for measuring corrosion and scale formation in oil and gas wells\(^\text{11}\). This monitoring system represents significant improvements over the current industrial technology by directly measuring corrosion and scale deposition in real downhole conditions using coupons of identical metallurgy as the production tubing, which the commercial tools failed to achieve. The concept of the development has been approved from the lab design, and from manufacture to field application. Field application had been carried out in a sour gas well with similar operating conditions previously shown in Table 2. A slick line conveyed through-tubing, retrievable high expansion gauge hanger is used to deploy and anchor the DCSM downhole at the desired depth of 12,320 ft. The test coupon ring is made of T-95 carbon steel, the same material as the downhole tubing, and is retrieved after 3 months for post-analysis.

A series of advanced post-analyses were performed to understand the corrosion and scaling of the applied coupons, including weight changes for mass of scale and corrosion measurement. A scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used for the morphologies of iron sulfide surface deposition, and X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used for the composition of surface deposition.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Iron Sulfide Deposition during Acid Stimulation

This part of the study focused on several stages during acid injection, including iron being released from the tubing due to corrosion byproduct, reservoir carbonate dissolution by acid, and scale formation when spent acid mixes with formation water saturated with sour gases.

Iron Released from Tubing during Acid Injection — Corrosion Coupon Tests

Corrosion coupon tests were carried out to evaluate the corrosion rate of corrosion inhibited 26 wt% HCl acid during the acid injection stage. The reaction of a carbon steel coupon is shown in Eqn. 2.

\[ \text{Fe} + 2 \text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{FeCl}_2 + \text{H}_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The test coupon was immersed in corrosion inhibited 26 wt% HCl acid over half an hour at 125 °C. The surface area of the test coupon is 38.96 cm\(^2\). A coupon weight loss of 1.6645 g was measured after a test duration of half an hour. The calculated corrosion rate is 4.334 mm/y, and the iron released in the 30 ml corrosion inhibited 26 wt% HCl acid due to corrosion was 60,058 mg/l. It should be noted that the corrosion test lasts half an hour in this study; while a longer contact time during acid injection will cause even harsher corrosion and a higher iron concentration in the acid solution.

The matrix acidizing treatment is to pump acid into the formation below the fracturing pressure to stimulate production. Acid stimulation in a carbonate reservoir is to allow the acid to dissolve carbonate and create wormholes or flow channels in the near wellbore region\(^\text{6}\).

The reaction of a calcium carbonate reservoir and acid is shown in Eqn. 3.

\[ \text{CaCO}_3 + 2\text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{CaCl}_2 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

During the HCl acid injection stage, some of the HCl acid was consumed due to tubing corrosion, as previously shown in Eqn. 2. Approximately 18 wt% of active HCl acid was left when the acid approached the carbonate formation in the near wellbore region. The Ca\(^{2+}\) ions released into the spent acid fluid and the pH of the spent acid increased due to the consuming of active HCl acid during carbonate dissolution. The concentration of Ca\(^{2+}\) ions released into spent acid fluid had an inverse proportion with the active HCl acid left in the spent acid. The calculated Ca\(^{2+}\) ions’ concentration was up to 99,567 mg/l when all active HCl acid was spent, where the pH of the spent acid is 3.89 according to scale prediction with the ScaleSoftPitzer model. When the spent acid was saturated with sour gas, the predicted pH decreased to 3.75.

A scenario of scale deposition during acid stimulation is the mixing of spent acid with formation water saturated with sour gases. The spent acid contains a high concentration of iron due to tubing corrosion during acid injection. When it mixes with formation water containing saturated sour gases and bicarbonate ions, iron sulfide could precipitate and may become a serious problem.

Scale prediction modeling was performed to assess the scaling tendency when the spent acid mixes with formation water saturated with sour gases. The spent acid contained 60,058 ppm of iron and 99,567 ppm of calcium. Figure 1 shows the pH of the mixed spent acid and formation water saturated
with sour gases under reservoir conditions.

The pH of 100% spent acid saturated with sour gases is 3.75. When it mixes with formation water saturated with sour gases, the pH increases. At the ratio of 10:90 mixing of spent acid and formation water saturated with sour gases, the pH increases to ~5.94. The pH increases with a further increase in the ratio of formation water until it reaches a pH of 6.82 in 100% formation water. A higher pH favors precipitation of certain scales, e.g., calcium carbonate, iron sulfide, and iron carbonate.

Iron sulfide scale was predicted to form when spent acid mixes with formation water saturated with sour gases under reservoir conditions, Fig. 2.

An extremely high iron sulfide SR was predicted. The worst-case of iron sulfide precipitate was predicted with a SR over 37,000 at a 40:60 mixing ratio of spent acid and formation water. The highest precipitation mass of 1,063 mg/l was estimated in the 10% spent acid and 90% formation water mixture. Iron sulfide scale deposition is certain to occur, and severe iron sulfide scaling is expected with potential formation damage problems and associated scaling problems in the production tubing and equipment.

In a real acidizing treatment, corrosion inhibitor is always added in the acid package to inhibit tubing corrosion. The corrosion inhibitor can reduce the iron release from carbon steel tubing due to corrosion, and mitigate the iron sulfide scale problem, to a certain level. It is still an industrial challenge to develop a corrosion inhibitor to effectively inhibit corrosion of 26 wt% HCl acid on carbon steel at an elevated temperature above 100 °C\(^1\). Effective iron sulfide scale prevention and mitigation treatments will still be required to inhibit scale deposition and prevent flow restrictions in the near wellbore region and downhole tubular during acidizing treatment in a carbonate reservoir.

**Iron Sulfide Deposition during Production**

A T-95 test coupon was deployed with DCSM at a desired depth of 12,320 ft in a sour gas well with similar operation conditions previously shown in Table 2. The coupon was retrieved after 3 months for post-analysis.

Table 3 shows the overall weight change of the T-95 coupons before and after field application. The weight change is composed of the weight loss due to corrosion — reducing weight — and the weight gain due to the formation of scale on the coupon surface — increasing weight. A minor weight increase of 0.049 g was measured for the T-95 coupon after field application.

Figure 3a is a photograph of the T-95 carbon steel coupon before field application, and Fig. 3b is a photograph of the same coupon and after being retrieved from 3 months of exposure in the field. Before field application, the coupon surface was mechanically polished without any deposition or corrosion. A thin layer of black deposition was observed on the surface of the test T-95 coupon after field application. Based on visual observation, there was a certain amount of corrosion byproduct or scale deposited on the surface of the coupon during the 3 months of field application.

Figure 4 is the optical image of the surface of the T-95 carbon steel coupon; before field application, Fig. 4a, and retrieved after from 3 months of exposure in the field, Fig. 4b. No

![Table 3. Weight change of the T-95 coupons before and after field application](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T-95 Coupon</th>
<th>Weight Before (g)</th>
<th>Weight After (g)</th>
<th>Weight Change (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T-95 Coupon</td>
<td>117.821</td>
<td>117.880</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 3. Photographs of the T-95 coupon: (a) before field application, and (b) retrieved after 3 months from the field.](image)

![Fig. 4. Optical images of the T-95 coupon: (a) before field application, and (b) retrieved after 3 months from the field.](image)
obvious features besides the machining lines were observed on the surface before field application, while patched areas with a size of around a few hundred microns were observed from the surface coupon after being retrieved from the field.

High resolution SEM images and energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDS) of the surface deposition and cross-section of the T-95 coupon after field application are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, respectively. Figure 5 shows a dense layer of deposit formed on the coupon surface after field application. The thickness of the surface deposit is about 3 μm to 4 μm. Figure 6 shows this surface deposit is mainly composed of iron and sulfur, and the ratio is 1.8:1 by weight.

The crystallization of the surface deposition was analyzed by XRD. The result is shown in Fig. 7. The surface deposition is composed of 66% pyrrhotite, 24% pyrite, and 9% marcasite.

The iron released from the tubing reacted with sulfide and formed an iron sulfide surface deposition. This thin black iron sulfide film deposited on the surface of a test coupon and caused a slight weight increase, as previously shown in Table 3. It worked as a protective film and reduced further corrosion on the test coupon. This observation agrees with the findings from other studies, where iron sulfide films formed on carbon steel during sour oil and gas production, and can be very protective against further corrosion for long periods of time.6,13

Over the past decade, great efforts have been made to
understand the mechanisms of iron sulfide scale deposited in sour wells. There are a few sources of iron for iron sulfide reported in previous studies. One of the iron sources is tubular corrosion during production. Another source of iron could be from the formation water due to dissolution of iron sulfide from the original mineral species in the formation. In addition, the iron could be introduced during drilling, completion, and stimulation operations, where the leaking of operation fluids containing iron can contaminate the produced water and bring iron into the produced water. This contamination theory does not support iron sulfide deposition over the long term.

In this study, the source of iron for iron sulfide deposited in sour gas wells has been studied during production and acid stimulation.

In combined reservoir scale prediction modeling and lab corrosion tests, the iron released in the acid during acid stimulation could be ~60,000 mg/L under the test conditions in the presence of a corrosion inhibitor. Due to the limited inhibition efficiency of the corrosion inhibitor on 26 wt% HCl acid at high temperatures, a large amount of iron still could be released during acid stimulation, even with a corrosion inhibitor applied. It could cause severe formation damage in the near wellbore region, and scale deposition on the downhole tubing and surface flow lines.

According to the DCSM monitoring under real downhole conditions during production, the iron released from the tubing due to corrosion in produced water is a source of iron sulfide deposition in the downhole tubular. Iron sulfide surface deposition during the production stage is a corrosion-induced scale issue.

CONCLUSIONS

1. In combination with the post-laboratory analysis on retrieved coupons, DCSM can provide corrosion and scale mechanism for specific metallurgy in sour gas wells during production. The iron released from the tubing due to corrosion during the production stage is a source of iron sulfide deposition in the tubular and surface facilities. The iron released from the tubing reacted with sulfide, and formed iron sulfide surface deposition.

2. Iron sulfide formation during acid stimulation is another source of iron deposition in sour gas wells. The iron sulfide could deposit in both the near wellbore region and downhole tubing during acid stimulation in sour gas wells. It could cause formation damage in the near wellbore region and contribute to downhole tubing blockage.
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ABSTRACT

Fiber optic sensing technology has gradually become one of the pervasive tools in the monitoring and surveillance toolkit for reservoir and production engineers. Traditionally, sensing with fiber optic technology in the form of distributed acoustic sensing (DAS) or distributed temperature sensing (DTS), and most recently, distributed strain sensing and distributed chemical sensing, were done with the fiber being permanently clamped either behind the casing or production tubing. Clamping the fiber behind the tubing or casing is sometimes beleaguered with operational challenges that often lead to rendering the fiber partially damaged or inoperable. The emergence of the composite carbon rod system that can be easily deployed in and out of a well, similar to wireline logging, has made it possible to sense any well without prior fiber optic installation.

In this article, we present the lessons learned from the first well where we deployed in-well fiber optic DAS/DTS. The use of DAS/DTS was done in a few vertical oil producer wells and water injector wells without prior fiber optic installation. The key objectives of the tests were to: (1) investigate the well integrity across the entire length of each well, (2) assess the production and injection flow profile across the perforations and behind casing, which to now, was not possible with a conventional production logging tool (PLT), and (3) investigate the possibility of using the combination of a distributed acoustic survey and a distributed temperature survey for quantitative production flow analysis.

This article reviews the complete design and implementation of the in-well fiber optic deployment, field operational issues, analyses, and interpretation of the sensing results.

The combination of DAS/DTS data showed no well integrity related issues. The sensing data surprisingly pinpointed a few geological features such as cooling shallow aquifers that until now had not been noticed. The combination of different pulse widths during shut-in and the production and injection cycles helped to refine the resolution of the flow profile from the production and injection zones.

INTRODUCTION

Innovative wellbore monitoring using fiber optic distributed acoustic sensing (DAS) and distributed temperature sensing (DTS) improves the information available for reliable decision making for effective reservoir management. Downhole data acquisition by fiber optic sensing enables the user to obtain information across the entire reservoir simultaneously. Another level of information beyond the traditional wireline conveyed production logging tool (PLT) techniques is captured because of the nature of fiber optic sensing. The user can observe in real-time the dynamic environment across the entire sensing area during production or injection changes and can act accordingly.

There are three main methods for getting fiber optics down into the wellbore, exposed to the variations in temperature and noise, i.e., production or injection. These methods are permanent installations, semi-permanent installations and intervention-based service.

Permanent installation is obtained by strapping a fiber optic line outside the casing and cementing it in place, Fig. 1a. Fiber can then be used to observe the heat being generated during curing and so give indications of the height of cement, and also the potential quality. In addition, since the fiber is directly coupled to the sandface, it will be able to provide good information...
about production related effects if it is placed across the reservoir. Other applications like vertical seismic profiling (VSP) and geological subsidence can also be detected with the right combination of a laser box and fiber optic cable.

In a semi-permanent installation, the fiber optic cable is strapped outside a tubing and is exposed to the annulus between the tubing and the casing, Fig. 1b. If the tubing is pulled out, the fiber will also be removed. Normally, this installation limits the fiber optics to be in the upper completion only, above the production packer.

The complexity of also including fiber optics in the lower completion is high and not much utilized, although it is possible. Many applications for the various installations are the same, but this installation can be particularly useful for leak detection between the tubing and annulus. In populated areas, and with groundwater protection in mind, it is very useful to obtain integrity information in real-time without having to open and enter a wellbore.

Both of these described methods require a higher capital cost and lower operational cost. The main advantage with the above methods is that the user is able to acquire instant downhole logging without accessing the wellbore, simply by attaching either a DAS laser box or a DTS laser box, or both, to the fiber optic cable(s) easily available at the surface.

The third method is running fiber optics downhole (“in-well”) as an intervention-based service. This method can be very useful in cases where the well does not initially include a fiber optic installation, like an open hole completion for instance, Fig. 1c. The ability to position the fiber optic cable across the entire reservoir increases the value of this method. In addition to the applications enumerated for the permanent and semi-permanent installations, the flexibility enables the user to obtain information like production flow allocation, injection flow allocation, cross-flow determination, flow behind casing, and many more\(^2, 3\). Having the fiber optic cable inside the fluid flow in the tubing itself captures the fluid dynamics in a very good way. A fiber optic cable can be run into the wellbore in several ways. There are three main intervention-based methods:

1. Fiber optic embedded in a coil tubing (CT). In this case, the fiber optic cable is located inside the CT and can provide information, e.g., injection profiling after a stimulation job.

2. Fiber optic embedded in a wireline or a slick line. Using fiber optic embedded in a wireline or slick line is working well in low angled wellbores (typically < 60° hole angle). If the hole angle is > 60°, a tractor can be connected to pull the fiber across a horizontal section. This can be a very attractive method to ensure the fiber is placed across the zones of interest and is a low cost solution in low angled wellbores.

3. Fiber optic included in a semi-stiff composite carbon rod\(^4, 5\). The slim design of the carbon rod (0.6” outer diameter) enables easy access to horizontal wellbores where there is, for instance, a downhole electric submersible pump with a Y-tool to access the wellbore. In general, the Y-tool is too narrow for a tractor to pass through, and so the wireline and/or slick line option is no longer valid. The composite carbon rod can be pushed into long horizontal wellbores from the surface, with no tractor needed. Once placed across the zones of interest, all applications mentioned here can be successfully executed. It is also possible to investigate multiple applications during the same run. For instance, the cause of a casing leak or tubing-to-annulus leak can be investigated at the same time as downhole injection profiling.

Figure 2 shows a sample of the 0.6” semi-stiff carbon rod that was tested for the first time in a few vertical oil producer and water injector wells without prior fiber optic installation. The main advantages for the composite rod is that the rod...
itself is more or less inert to the environment, being able to withstand acid and other chemicals without deteriorating.

Also, the carbon rod is very slim, in addition to having no memory of being coiled up on a drum, Fig. 3. In size, it is similar to a CT, but the carbon rod wants to be straight, and therefore does not have the same wear and tear as a steel CT will have. At the moment, the carbon rod does not have the power capability, it only contains fiber optics.

The fiber optic is used for DAS and DTS in addition to pressure and temperature point measurements located in a 3 ft bottom-hole assembly (BHA), Fig. 4. All these measurements are conveyed to the surface in real time for visualization and potentially optimization of various parameters like slug avoidance during production or injection flow rate to cover the entire reservoir. The dynamic information in real-time enables correct decisions to be made in a timely manner.

**PROJECT BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES**

The logging operation in the subject well, Well-X, is part of a multiple well investigative campaign to test the application of a novel in-well fiber optic technology, and the carbon rod, in a few vertical injection and vertical producer wells. The main objectives of the field trial test were to: (1) investigate the well’s integrity across the entire length of the well, (2) assess the production and injection flow profile across the perforations and behind the casing (unperforated intervals), which until now was not possible with a conventional PLT, (3) investigate the possibility of using a combination of a distributed acoustic survey and a distributed temperature survey for quantitative production flow analysis, and (4) explore the possibility of utilizing the carbon rod for VSP data acquisition.

Well-X — the first well to be tested — is a vertical oil producer, with a perforated interval of 35 ft, having a very high unrestricted liquid flow without artificial lift. The specific sensing objectives for this well are well integrity evaluation, flow profiling and flow allocation.

**Sensing Program**

The sensing program for the well consists of a series of production and shutting periods using different pulse width (PW) settings of 20 ns and 50 ns, equivalent to 4 m and 10 m, respectively. The original sensing program, Table 1, was slightly modified to include a stabilization period of 4 hours prior to production-1a (20 ns PW).

Production-1 was divided into two sequences (1a and 1b) to achieve two different spatial resolutions of the DAS. It was anticipated that the PW of 20 ns would provide more zonal details. Typically, a shorter PW is a tradeoff between resolution and energy response. Generally, it could be challenging to obtain a sufficient signal-to-noise ratio with a shorter PW. Since the production rate is very high, the odds were favorable. Given the carbon rod diameter of 15 mm inside a 4½” tubing, the wellbore flow was expected to be unchoked and stable production temperatures can be recorded with negligible intervention interference. Therefore, stable production-1 temperature data can be used as input for the production curve in the interpretation software. Stable shut-in production-1 temperature data is generally used to approximate the geothermal temperature input in the software. The transient production-2 was planned to observe the dynamic start of production and possible zones. Production-3 is more of a repeat of production-2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensing Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of Sensing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Sensing program for Well-X**
FIELD DEPLOYMENT AND OPERATIONAL HIGHLIGHTS

The well, Well-X, is a natural vertical producer with no artificial lift. It was completed as a monobore producer with a 4½” liner and tubing to a depth of x465 ft. The perforated interval spans from x070 ft to x105 ft. The rig-up process involved rigging up the flanged wellhead crossover and blowout preventer (BOP). The modular Quad BOP (top, blind, slip, pipe) was function tested. Then the dual stripper, lubricator, and gooseneck were rigged up on the injector. The carbon rod was then stabbed into the injector and the gooseneck rollers were closed. The injector and stripper were rigged up on the BOP. Thereafter, the BOP rams, the strippers, and the lubricator were pressure tested to 2,500 psi. The run in hole (RIH) process began after a safety meeting was held to review all the details of the sensing operations.

The well was opened fully while the carbon rod was at 610 ft as per the sensing program. A set down weight of -2,000 lb was noticed when the carbon rod was at x192 ft. The weight drop was due to the well’s high flow rate. It was then decided to shut-in the well while RIH. Pull tests were performed at every 1,000 ft or when needed to confirm the rod weight, and that nothing was holding the rod, Fig. 5.

After reaching the desired total depth (TD) at x262 ft, it was decided to start the sensing operation as per the program — production-1a. The well was gradually opened from 10%, 25%, 45%, 65%, 75%, to 100% fully open. It was observed that the weight of the carbon rod dropped as the choke was opened more, Fig. 6.

Figure 7 shows the carbon rod weight and well flow vs. time for the rest of the sensing operational sequence (shut-in 1 to production-3 to the end of sensing). At the completion of the sensing program, the carbon rod was pulled out of hole (POOH) while keeping the well flowing to record sensing data during POOH. A crack was later noticed on the carbon rod. A post-job review showed that the crack developed due to helical buckling of the rod, which was a result of a high flow rate that the carbon rod encountered while RIH. A simulation of the well flowing condition and carbon rod showed that severe helical buckling caused compression crumpling of the carbon rod once the flow rate exceeded a maximum safe production flow rate. The flow rate for the well was very high at some point during the operation; this is much higher than the maximum safe production flow rate of 8,750 barrels per day (bpd) calculated for the well conditions.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

A memory gauge measuring temperature and pressure data was run in the...
BHA. This serves as a post-job quality control and a down-hole DTS calibration point. The DTS data was calibrated using the memory gauge temperature as the bottom-hole reference. The memory data collected in the bullnose sensor was recorded as a function of time. It was merged with the winch depth data to obtain pressure and temperature vs. depth curve. The DTS was matched using the inflection point on the production curve during the stable production-1b as the bottom of the perforation interval. This approach was validated by a forward model showing the production curve departing and warming up quite sharply from the modeled geothermal curve. The DAS was matched assuming the maximum noise during production-1 in medium frequency bands corresponding to the perforation interval. Figure 8 shows the memory gauge temperature and pressure data from the bullnose sensor for Well-X.

The different temperature effects of each sequence can be seen in the waterfall plot, showing the entire sensing sequence from the top to TD, Fig. 9. Some of the features that are apparent on the DTS curves and the waterfall plot are: (1) a cooler shut-in region can reach above x500 ft. This was interpreted as the signature of shallow aquifers; and (2) the sharp contrast between the aquifer temperature and the rest of the wellbore initially prompted the question of potential well integrity issues around that zone.

Careful analyses of the data and comparison with the DAS data showed this is not the case; (1) the bottom-hole temperature variation at the BHA is extremely small (less than 0.5 °F) throughout the sequence of events, which is consistent with the location past and below the bottom of the perforation’s interval. The observed temperature response is apparently related to pressure variations in the wellbore fluid column; (2) the end of production-1 is relatively stable as seen on the BHA pressure, which is not the case during productions 2 and 3, and (3) the end of shut-in 1 is stable as seen on the BHA pressure.

A zoom into the reservoir section of the temperature waterfall plot shows a change in temperature during the start of production, Fig. 10. It can be observed that during the shut-in sequence, hotter temperatures are in line with the perforations, which is sensed by the fiber through conductive heating. The production curves are cooling at the perforations compared to the shut-in traces, which indicates a potential gas Joules-Thomson effect, and as such, free gas production toward the top of the perforations.

The DAS overview plot of the entire sensing sequence from the top to the TD is shown in Fig. 11. It is important to highlight that a dark blue response does not necessarily mean there is no energy; it simply means that the energy is lower than that of a red response. The production sequences are recognizable as higher energy while shut-in sequences create a lower energy. In addition, the 20 ns PW intervals are relatively less energetic than the 50 ns ones.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

From the data analyses, there was no strong evidence of any well integrity issues or leakage at the aquifers. The shut-in temperature peaks previously shown in Figs. 9 and 11, develop parallel to one another vs. depth, with no sign of upward or downward fluid movement other than gravity convection. The DAS and DTS images, Fig. 12, only show evidence of convection inside the wellbore at the aquifers because of the high temperature contrast.

Quantitative analyses of the production flow profile was done using the sensing data from the DAS/DTS survey, pressure, reservoir description and deviation data as inputs into the flow simulation model to quantify the production from
the different zones. The data acquired from the different PWs during shut-in and production cycles helped to refine the vertical resolution of the flow profile from the production zones. A shorter PW is generally a tradeoff between resolution and energy response, and it could be challenging to obtain sufficient signal-to-noise ratio with a shorter PW. Since the production rate for the well was very high, the odds were favorable, Fig. 13.

Figure 14 shows the quantitative flow rate and flow profile results from the integration of the independent DAS and DTS data. It is worth noting that using the DTS data alone can generally lead to a non-unique production inflow profile. It is usually a best practice to constrain the model with the DAS data and better vertical resolution with varying PWs. The data showed that the lower zone is the main contributor of the water inflow while the bulk of the gas and oil is apparently coming from the top zones.

KEY LESSONS LEARNED

1. Helical buckling of the carbon rod due to a very high flow rate was not anticipated during the planning stage. Apparently, the carbon rod has never been used in any well with a flow rate exceeding 5,000 bpd. Although the carbon rod is very strong — over 22,000 lb tensile capacity — and quite different from the CT, its compressive strength — less than 2,200 lb — limits its application in very high rate wells without additional weight attached to the BHA.

2. Consequently, a best practice for logging high rate wells is to simulate helical buckling and establish the maximum safe production flow rate for each well’s flowing condition prior to logging.

3. Where possible, and if it will not adversely affect the sensing program, consider keeping the well at shut-in during RIH and POOH operations to prevent helical buckling and/or excessive axial force.

4. For technology trials, it is always good to consider a gamut of “what ifs” and anticipate different scenarios that may become a potential show stopper.

5. A post-job detailed examination of the cracked carbon rod...
showed no evidence of chemical damage or any reduction in physical properties as compared to the reference (original) samples.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The first field trial of the carbon rod in our operations showed it has great potential. The field trial was paused because of the crack on the carbon rod. A post-job review showed that the crack developed due to helical buckling of the rod as a result of a high flow rate that the carbon rod encountered while RIH. A simulation of the well’s flowing condition and carbon rod showed that severe helical buckling caused compression crumpling of the carbon rod once the flow rate exceeded a maximum safe production flow rate.

2. The data obtained showed no well integrity issues across the entire length of the well. Using the DTS data alone would have raised a false alarm about well leakage around the shallow aquifers. The combination of the DAS and DTS data only show evidence of convection inside the wellbore at the aquifers because of the high temperature contrast.

3. The sensing data surprisingly revealed the possibility of detecting active shallow aquifers. This is not possible with conventional PLT.

4. The data obtained was used for quantitative analyses of the production flow profile. The data acquired from the different PWs during the shut-in and production cycles helped to refine the vertical resolution of the flow profile from the production zones. The analysis showed that the lower zone is the main contributor of the water inflow, while the bulk of the gas and oil is apparently coming from the top zones.
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Drilling and Acidizing Sandstone Stringers — Sludge Characterization and Acid Interactions with Rock Minerals: Integrated Geochemical and Engineering Techniques
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ABSTRACT

Understanding the sludge types and causes is essential to preventing various drilling issues, especially during the drilling of heterogeneous sandstone reservoirs where the permeability is variable. Acidizing these sandstone reservoirs is challenging because of their inconsistent mineralogical types and contents resulting in different reaction behaviors with drilling fluids and acidizing recipes. The current study uses new techniques to assess sludge types.

The sludge characterization revealed two types that are different in appearance and composition. The first type is solid, and is characterized by black to brownish colors, vitreous luster, and conchoidal fractures. This is described as bituminous sediment. The pyrolysis results showed that the sludge samples are composed of a mixture of diesel and solid bitumen or coal fragments. Therefore, the samples were investigated with organic microscopy techniques. The coal particles contain vitrinite, tellovitrinite, resinite, and solid bitumen. Part of the samples were treated with xylene to extract the hydrocarbon portion. The result revealed that the sludge sample is composed of 70 wt% organic matter and the remaining is inorganic. Calcite constitutes 82 wt% of the inorganic components, leaving 18 wt% for silicate minerals. Most of this type 1 sludge was dissolved by xylene. This indicates that they represent solid bitumen, which absorbs oil-based drilling fluids. This results in destabilizing the substrate, which causes the bit to abort drilling. Therefore, water-based drilling is recommended in this case. The type 2 sludge is composed of fine-grained sediments saturated with liquid hydrocarbon — mostly oil. A total of five sludge samples were characterized by high resolution pyrolysis and revealed variable saturates, aromatic, resin, and asphaltene percentages corresponding to different degrees of oil and drilling fluid incompatibilities.

The type 2 sludge sample showed a slight solubility in mutual solvents and xylene at 160 °F, i.e., 5 wt% to 19 wt%. The dissolution of the type 2 sludge sample in formic acid was higher than acetic acid when mixed with either ether-based mutual solvent or microemulsion solvent. The highest solubility values were obtained with mixtures of 5 wt% hydrochloric (HCl) acid mixed with solvents, showing solubilities between 79 wt% to 88 wt%.

Coreflood experiments utilizing HCl acid and formic acid mixtures showed a slight reduction in permeability — i.e., 20% — using highly permeable sandstone core plugs. Injection of HCl acid and formic acid mixtures or 10 wt% formic acid in the presence of 0.4 vol% clay stabilizer showed a reduction in the permeability by 5% to 13%. Although, the coreflood experiments showed a slight reduction in permeability, the computed tomography (CT) scan results obtained showed a significant increase in rock density along the core length for core plug Nos. 2 and 3, indicating the occurrence of chemical reactions with rock minerals that had an insignificant impact on the core permeability.

INTRODUCTION

Marine sandstone formations are among the most prolific oil producing reservoirs in the world. Due to their litho-heterogeneity and extreme capriciousness in their permeability, drilling and acidizing them become very challenging. Their litho-heterogeneity evolve inconsistent interactions between the drilling fluid and the stimulation recipe throughout the reservoir, as they usually have a wide range of mineralogical compositions. Because of frequent and rapid sea level fluctuations upon the deposition time, the reservoir stratigraphy alternates between several lithologies. For example, such reservoirs can be composed of two types of argillaceous sandstones that alternate with argillaceous siltstone. It also encompasses organic-rich layers. The common minerals are quartz, plagioclases, K-feldspars, lithic fragments, mica, siderite, ferroan dolomite, calcite, kaolinite, chlorite, illite, pyrite, and organic matter. The reservoir properties can be affected by siderite or carbonate cement as well as quartz overgrowth. These minerals differ significantly in their sensitivity to acid, which accentuates the importance of studying the controls on the reservoir mineralogy, and the anticipated chemical interactions. Such interactions with acid recipes were summarized by Al Moajil et al. (2018)

Recognizing the lithology will help with optimizing drilling fluid planning.

The permeability variations cause uneven distribution of filter cake and massive losses in high permeable streaks. Losses of drilling fluids and high viscous pills, e.g., hydroxyethyl cellulose, can exceed hundreds of barrels until the well is
controlled. This adds more to well completion challenges along with sludge formation and production, due to downhole fluid incompatibility with oil.

Acidizing to remove drill-in fluid damage becomes more challenging in the presence of sand production problems, especially in horizontal wells extending over 3,000 ft. The removal of filter cake caused by oil-based mud (OBM) is commonly conducted by either a conventional drilling fluid spacer — viscous brine — or a special acidizing recipe. This depends on the type of the drill-in fluid and the amount of the occurring damage. A successful recipe for filter cake removal does not necessarily work well upon injection to the formation due to interactions with the rocks. The interaction can result in formation damage caused by mineral precipitation, clay mineral swelling, sand production or oil incompatibility.

The main challenges faced during drilling and remedial operations were a high fluid loss, the formation of sludge materials, pumping high volumes of hydroxyethyl cellulose-based viscous fluids, and both organic and inorganic precipitations because of incompatibilities with the formation rock. Drilling operations are occasionally aborted due to sludge formation that usually results from the incompatibility between drilling fluids and acid treatments, the oil type, and reservoir lithology. The current study identifies a unique sludge type and possible formation causes, and proposes solutions to avoid them. This article attests the formation damage potential and types when injecting commonly used acid formulations.

**EXPERIMENTAL STUDY**

**Materials**

Solvents and acids such as hydrochloric (HCl), formic, and acetic acids, and ether-based mutual and sulfonic-based solvents were obtained for solubility testing. Table 1 shows a typical HCl acid and formic acid recipe, which was prepared for coreflood testing. Sandstone core plugs and organic sludge samples commonly found in highly heterogeneous and permeable argillaceous sandstones that alternate with argillaceous were obtained. The sandstone core samples are characterized by medium to coarse grain size and occasional calcite veinlets and stylolites.

The core plugs obtained for the study were highly permeable sandstone stringers. Siderite-rich sandstone and limestone facies were present. The detrital grains are monocrystalline quartz, plagioclases, and lithic fragments, muscovite mica, and organic matter. Authigenic minerals include siderite, ferroan dolomite, calcite, kaolinite, chlorite, illite, pyrite, and quartz. The organic samples were in two types. The first type is a mixture of material that are brittle and black to brownish black in color and show conchoidal fractures and vitreous luster with fine-grained gray sediments. This is described as a bituminous sediment, Fig. 1. The second sludge type is soft and oily material in fine- to medium-grained matrix, Fig. 2.

### Solvent Exactions

Bituminous sediments were extracted with a solvent mixture of methanol (15 vol%), acetone (15 vol%), and chloroform (70 vol%) to remove bitumen and diesel. Other fractions of the sludge samples were treated with xylene to remove the remaining organic propositions, and leave the inorganic solids.

### X-ray Diffraction

Aliquots of crushed core and residual solids from the xylene treated sludge samples were assessed for their mineralogical composition by using the X-ray diffraction (XRD) technique, Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freshwater/NH₄Cl</td>
<td>4 to 6 wt%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutual solvent</td>
<td>10 vol%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfactant</td>
<td>0.2 to 0.3 vol%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl acid</td>
<td>10 wt%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic acid</td>
<td>5 wt%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid, iron reducing agent</td>
<td>10 gal/1,000 gal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric acid, iron chelating agent</td>
<td>50 lb/100 gal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrosion inhibitor</td>
<td>5 gal/1,000 gal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1. Typical acid recipe used in compatibility and coreflood testing*
Pyrolysis

Selected sludge samples were analyzed using a high resolution pyrolysis method — the HAWK Petroleum Assessment Method (PAM)® by Wildcat Technologies. An aliquot of 40 mg of powdered rock or oil mixed with clean sand is put in a crucible and then inserted in a pyrolysis oven. In a single run, the oven heats the sample in an inert condition at five isothermals separated by multiple ramps of 25 °C per minute. This generates five peaks in a pyrogram — the pyrolytic response of the sample — assigned to specific hydrocarbon ranges.

Organic Microscopy

Selected bituminous sediment samples were studied using organic petrology. Whole rock plugs were prepared using a cold setting epoxy resin. These were polished and detrital organic matter fragments were identified microscopically in reflected and fluorescent light. The standard technique of coal petrography4 and the reflectance investigation technique5 were used. The preparation method of whole rock plugs are best described by Sachse et al. (2012)6.

Solubility Testing

The solubility of the type 2 sludge samples was examined in various acids and solvents. The samples were soaked in the fluid mixtures at 160 °F for 16 to 20 hours in an oven. The solubility values were calculated based on the sample’s dry weight before and after solubility testing. The fluid samples were filtered using 0.45 µm filter paper following the solubility experiments. The sludge samples were dried at 212 °F for 16 to 20 hours prior to the weight measurements.

Coreflood Experiments

The coreflood system was used to measure the pressure drop across the core plugs. Potassium chloride (KCl) brine was injected at the beginning at flow rates of 1.0 cm³ per minute, 2.0 cm³ per minute, and 3.0 cm³ per minute to measure differential pressure and test the quality. The acid recipe was then injected into the core plug, followed by the brine fluid. The experiments were conducted at 160 °F, 1,000 psi pore pressure, and 2,000 psi confining pressure.

Table 2. Generalized mineralogical analysis conducted by XRD of the sandstone samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Concentration (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quartz (SiO₂)</td>
<td>73 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase (CaAl₂Si₂O₈ or NaAlSi₃O₈)</td>
<td>2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthoclase (KAl₂Si₃O₈)</td>
<td>2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siderite (FeCO₃)</td>
<td>9 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smectite</td>
<td>4 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illite (K, H₂O) (Al, Mg, Fe)₂(Si, Al)</td>
<td>3 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaolinite (Al₂Si₂O₅(OH)₄)</td>
<td>6 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sludge Characterization

Bituminous sediments — type 1 sludge — were characterized for their composition with variable organic microscopic and geochemical techniques. Such materials can be found during operations in siliciclastic reservoirs. The bituminous sediment samples are fine-grained and gray, and include relatively big particles of solid bitumen with black color, vitreous luster, and conchoidal fractures. They are also characterized by a strong odor of diesel or synthetic oil. The pyrolysis analysis
suggests that the samples contain solid bitumen contaminated with diesel or synthetic oil. They most probably represent the filling of available porosity because of the thermal conversion of kerogen and or severe biodegradation of the reservoir oil.

The organic microscopic assessment indicates that the samples contain a mixture of coal fragments, and solid bitumen embedded in the mineral groundmass. The coal fragments contain diverse kerogen types, which include vitrinite, cutinite, resinite, sporonite, and exsudatinite, Fig. 3. Occasionally, the vitrinite is surrounding the resinite, which does not appear to be fluorescence. This difference in fluorescence properties is commonly used to distinguish macerals from each other.

The presence of a darker rim around the outside of the maceral, Figs. 3a and 3a, is also a common feature that is sometimes called a reaction rim caused by weathering of the coal maceral7. The samples contain two phases of solid bitumen. The first phase has moderately strong orange-brown fluorescence. The second phase is subordinate with strong green-yellow fluorescence, Fig. 4.

In further investigation of the hydrocarbon type, a sample was analyzed by using the high resolution pyrolysis method — PAM™, Fig. 5. The sample was analyzed before (bulk) and after the methanol, acetone, and chloroform extraction, Figs. 5a and 5b. A 3.6% diesel solution mixed with clean sand was run by the same methods, Fig. 5c. The sample before extraction shows peaks indicating a light, and a very heavy hydrocarbon mixture. The PAM pyrogram of the extracted sample shows only the last peak, indicating a very heavy or solid hydrocarbon. The combination of the diesel and the extracted sample pyrograms are plotted in Fig. 5d. It is clearly shown that Fig. 5d looks identical to the pyrogram of the original sample in Fig. 5a. Therefore, it can be concluded that this sample contains indigenous solid bitumen mixed with diesel or synthetic oil from drilling fluids.

A part of the sample was treated with xylene to remove all types of hydrocarbons, and then these were characterized by XRD analysis for their mineral composition. The solubility test indicates that the 70 wt% of the sample is solid bitumen and 30 wt% is sediment. The XRD analysis revealed that the inorganic component of the sample is composed of calcite (82 wt%), quartz (16 wt%), microcline feldspar (1 wt%), and halite (1 wt%), Table 3. The absence of clay eliminates the potential for swelling, and any pore problems.

The fact that the sample is almost completely dissolved in xylene confirms that this sample is a solid bitumen rather

Fig. 5. Pyrolytical results of the bituminous sediment sample using the PAM™: (a) A pyrogram of the original sample illustrating four peaks; (b) a pyrogram of the solvent extracted sample demonstrating only the late peak, indicating kerogen or solid bitumen; (c) a pyrogram of the diesel sample; and (d) the combined diesel and extracted sample showing identical pyrolytical behavior of the original sample, suggesting that the original sample is a mixture of diesel and indigenous organic matter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Concentration (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcite (CaCO₃)</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz (SiO₂)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcline (KAlSi₃O₈)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halite (NaCl)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. XRD analysis of the residual solids of the xylene treated bituminous sediment sample (type 1)
than bituminous coal. In this case, oil-based drilling will be absorbed by the solid bitumen and make the substrate unstable and cause the bit to abort drilling. Water-based drilling should be utilized to drill such formations.

The second type of sludge is characterized by soft appearances and fine-grained sediments saturated by liquid hydrocarbon — a mixture of oil and diesel from drilling — and varies in color. The samples were assessed for their organic and inorganic composition utilizing pyrolysis, X-ray fluorescence (XRF) and XRD techniques, respectively. Sample number 2, which is brown in color, is rich in saturate and aromatic compounds and have very low asphaltene contents unlike the other samples. Samples 3 and 4, which have similar appearances, have a higher abundance of saturates and aromatic compounds compared to samples 5 and 7. Sample 7 was selected for XRF and XRD analyses and illustrated dominance of carbonate mineralogy, Table 4. The later samples have a high abundance of resin and asphaltene compounds, Table 5.

**Solubility Testing**

The solubility of type 2 sludge samples — 1 to 7 — was measured at 160 °F using various organic or inorganic acids and aliphatic or aromatic solvents, including sulfonic-based microemulsifying surfactants. Mutual solvents and xylene showed slight solubility values, i.e., 5 wt% to 19 wt%, with the examined sludge samples. The solubility values were slightly higher using mixtures of acetic and mutual solvents, i.e., 17 wt% to 35 wt%, in samples 1 to 6, indicating the presence of acid soluble inorganic compounds, Fig. 6.

The dissolving power of formic acid is higher than acetic acid. Therefore, the solubility values for samples 1 to 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound by XRD</th>
<th>Concentration (wt%)</th>
<th>Element by XRF</th>
<th>Concentration (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcite (CaCO₃)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>38.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolomite (CaMg(CO₃)₂)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>7.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz (SiO₂)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>5.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halite (NaCl)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>4.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaolinite (Al₂Si₂O₅(OH)₄)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite (FeS₂)</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcline (KAlSi₃O₈)</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Composition of the type 2 sludge sample 7 after XRF and XRD analyses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Saturates and Aromatics (%)</th>
<th>Resins (%)</th>
<th>Asphaltene (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>84.93</td>
<td>11.77</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>64.19</td>
<td>20.22</td>
<td>19.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>54.67</td>
<td>25.40</td>
<td>19.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>33.60</td>
<td>40.74</td>
<td>25.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>33.57</td>
<td>40.82</td>
<td>25.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>28.07</td>
<td>43.35</td>
<td>28.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5. Saturates, aromatics, resin, and asphaltene data based on the pyrolysis of the type 2 sludge samples**

![Fig. 6. Solubility of the type 2 sludge samples in acids and solvents at 160 °F.](image)
were higher using a mixture of formic acid with either an ether-based mutual solvent or microemulsion solvent, i.e., 34 wt% to 55 wt%, Fig. 6. The microemulsifying surfactant showed no advantage over the mutual solvent when mixed with formic acids. Therefore, utilizing a mutual solvent in field treatment would be sufficient and cost-effective. The highest solubility values were obtained with mixtures of 5 wt% HCl acid and solvents, showing solubilities between 79 wt% to 88 wt% in sludge samples 2 to 6, Fig. 6. The solubility of sludge samples 1 to 6 in 5 wt% HCl acid showed inconsistent results, i.e., 25 wt% to 71 wt%.

Indicating the presence of a mutual solvent in the acid mixture is essential to maximize the dissolutions of sludge samples. Sludge sample 7 differs significantly in its solubility values compared to samples 1 to 6. All acid mixtures showed high solubility values between 55 wt% to 66 wt%. The highest solubility was obtained with a mixture of 5 wt% HCl acid and 10 wt% solvent, i.e., 85 wt%.

Coreflood Testing and CT Scan Analysis

Coreflood experiments were conducted using HCl acid and formic acid mixtures previously shown in Table 1, or 10 wt% formic acid. The examined core plugs were subjected to a CT scan before and after coreflood testing. The injection of an HCl acid and formic acid recipe into sandstone core plug 1 at 160 °F showed a slight reduction in permeability by nearly 20%, Fig. 7.

In another experiment, core plug 2 was first flushed by 3 pore volumes (PV) of 6 wt% KCl brine and 0.4 vol% polymeric cationic clay stabilizer followed by a HCl acid and formic acid recipe, with 0.4 vol% clay stabilizer. The coreflood testing results showed no damage occurred to the core plug, Fig. 8.

In a third experiment, a slight damage to core plug 3 was observed, i.e., 11% to 13%, following the injection of 10 wt% formic acid with 0.4 vol% clay stabilizer, Fig. 9. Although the coreflood results showed no or slight damage to the core plugs, a significant change in the CT scan was observed with core

---

**Fig. 7.** Injection of a HCl acid and formic acid-based recipe into sandstone core plug 1 at 160 °F. The pore pressure was 1,000 psi and the confining pressure was 2,000 psi.

**Fig. 8.** Injection of HCl acid and formic acid-based recipe with 0.4 vol% clay stabilizer sandstone core plug 2 at 160 °F. The pore pressure was 1,000 psi and the confining pressure was 2,000 psi.

**Fig. 9.** Injection of a 10 wt% formic acid-based recipe with 0.4 vol% clay stabilizer into sandstone core plug 3 at 160 °F. The pore pressure was 1,000 psi and the confining pressure was 2,000 psi.
plugs 2 and 3, Figs. 10 and 11, respectively. In both experiments, the CT scan numbers — density — increased along the core length, especially at the core’s inlet. This suggested a significant chemical interaction between the acid recipes and the core minerals, indicating the presence of acid sensitive minerals. In contrast, there was no significant change in the density profile observed in core plug 1, Fig. 12, which indicated the examined core plugs were heterogeneous with major variations in mineralogical composition.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Characterization of two types of sludges that contain both inorganic and organic components were investigated using various techniques. The solubility of the type 2 sludge was assessed using acid and mutual solvents. The acid-rock interactions were assessed using coreflood and CT scan testing, using core plugs representing highly permeable and heterogeneous sandstone stringers. Based on the experimental studies, the following conclusions can be made:

- Two types of sludge were determined using high resolution pyrolysis methods, XRD, and organic petrology.
- The first sludge type is characterized by a black color, vitreous luster, and conchoidal fractures. It contains solid bitumen and coal fragments as well as carbonate and silicate minerals. The solid bitumen absorbs the OBM, leading the bit to abort drilling. Therefore, water-based mud is highly recommended.
- The second sludge type is saturated sediments with relatively heavy oil at variable asphaltene contents. This corresponds to different degrees of incompatibility between the reservoir oil and the OBM.
- The type 2 sludge samples showed slight solubility in both mutual solvents and xylene at 160 °F, i.e., 5 wt% to 19 wt%, indicating the presence of a large amount of inorganic compounds.
- The dissolution of the type 2 sludge samples in formic acid was higher than acetic acid at 10 wt% and 160 °F, i.e., 34 wt% to 55 wt%. Both acids were mixed with either an ether-based mutual solvent or microemulsion solvent at 10 vol%.
• The microemulsifying surfactant showed no advantage in dissolving the type 2 sludge samples over the mutual solvent when mixed with formic acids. Therefore, utilizing a mutual solvent in field treatment would be sufficient and cost-effective.

• The highest solubility values were obtained with mixtures of 5 wt% HCl acid and solvents, showing solubilities between 79 wt% to 88 wt%.

• The solubility of the type 2 sludge samples — 1 to 6 — in only 5 wt% HCl acid showed inconsistent results, i.e., 25 wt% to 71 wt%, indicating that the presence of a mutual solvent in the acid mixture is essential to maximize the dissolutions of these sludge samples.

• The coreflood experiments utilizing HCl acid and formic acid mixtures showed a slight reduction in permeability — 20%.

• The permeability of the core plugs were reduced slightly following injection of 3 PV of the 0.4 vol% cationic polymeric clay stabilizer, indicating the clay stabilizer coated minerals in the core plug.

• Injection of HCl acid and formic acid mixtures or 10 wt% formic acid in the presence of the 0.4 vol% clay stabilizer showed a slight reduction in permeability by 5% to 13%.

• Although the coreflood experiments showed a slight reduction in permeability, the CT scan results obtained showed a significant increase in rock density along the core length for core plugs 2 and 3, indicating the occurrence of chemical reactions with rock minerals that had an insignificant impact in the core’s permeability.
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ABSTRACT

Proximity sensing was recently proposed as a way to simultaneously increase both range and resolution in cross-well electromagnetic (EM) tomography. The approach is applicable to reservoirs with resistive seals. Earlier reports were based on finite element models of layered structures, with dielectric and conductivity contrasts matching those of known reservoirs. Experimental work, now reported, is consistent with expectations based on finite element model simulations. Synthetic layered structures have been investigated using a 1.3 GHz ground penetrating radar (GPR) system. A scaled reservoir model was constructed in a 1 m tank comprising sand filled with fluids of variable dielectric constant and conductivity. In this system, dry sand, brine saturated sand, and a polymer foam, provide a useful mimic for the electrical properties expected for a carbonate reservoir sealed by anhydrite. Data was recorded in the time domain using EM transients. Observed trends in velocities and amplitude shifts were consistent with finite element models. Interestingly, polarization dependent signal transport first indicated by finite element modeling was supported by these experimental results.

Results to date indicate that greatly increased EM propagation can be achieved through resistive geologic layers, rather than directly through relatively conductive reservoir media. We confirm that these layers act as planar transmission lines — meaning that there is no hard lower cutoff frequency, and longer wavelengths can be used to sense and characterize reservoir fluids proximal to the dielectric channel. The results also confirm that variations in bounding layers modulate the amplitude and velocity of the signal in the dielectric channel, and thereby demonstrate the concept of proximity sensing.

These results support a new technical direction for EM characterization of reservoirs, especially in conjunction with magnetic contrast agents, enabling efficient localization of bypassed oil, and mapping the remaining oil columns in mature reservoirs.
The main reservoir imaging approaches currently in use include seismic and EM means. 4D seismic has been gainfully employed to monitor waterfloods in clastic fields worldwide\textsuperscript{14}, although results have been unsatisfactory in carbonate reservoirs, due to the low acoustic impedance contrast between light petroleum and brine filled carbonate matrices\textsuperscript{5}. EM approaches have recently appeared effective for monitoring carbonate reservoirs. Specific EM methods gainfully applied to “giant” class carbonate reservoirs include borehole to surface-induced polarization\textsuperscript{6-8}, surface to borehole EM\textsuperscript{9}, and cross-well EM\textsuperscript{10}. The frequencies used are around 1 Hz, 10 Hz, and 100 Hz, respectively, resulting in probes with wavelengths on the order of 10\textsuperscript{6} m.

Such low frequencies have been considered \textit{de rigeur} for the diffusive regimen, in lossy media like deep sedimentary strata to enable penetration up to the km scale — a typical reservoir depth and interwell spacing in giant fields. As expected, the natural imaging power of such long wavelengths is poor. Even so, predecessor EM technologies like magneto-tellurics\textsuperscript{11} and controlled source EM\textsuperscript{12} surveys that operate at still lower frequencies (< 1 Hz) yield actionable information detecting large-scale structures in exploration.

Useful reservoir images, along lateral dimensions at least, are obtained by tomographic means employing closely spaced observation points along the surface and boreholes. Similar to spreading resistance measurements\textsuperscript{13} and gravity surveys\textsuperscript{14}, imaging resolution depends on the spacing of sampling points and decreases with depth into the material. The detectable feature size scales linearly with the distance from the survey locus while the maximum resolution equals the spatial sampling spacing in the vicinity of the survey locus. Effective resolution in cross-well EM with km borehole spacing is therefore on the order of a few hundred meters — and only in the plane of the survey. Apparent resolution with 3D features has been achieved recently by joint inversion methods constrained by geologic models derived from a combination of seismic, well log, and production history matching\textsuperscript{15}. All of these methods employ fairly low power continuous wave EM sources and are generally slow and expensive, especially for horizontal wells where coiled tubing conveyance is required.

Plainly, some alternative EM approaches with a higher intrinsic resolution would be desirable. Indeed, an obscure technology, radio imaging (RIM)\textsuperscript{16}, has been used in mining applications since the 1970s. RIM uses much higher frequencies — around 1 MHz. The technique is gainfully used for mapping obstructions in coal mines and locating metal sulfide ore bodies in hard rock mines. In both cases, the detection challenge is mapping conductive targets in relatively resistive matrices of 10\textsuperscript{3} ohm-meters or higher. RIM has proven successful in situations where the resistive matrix was bounded by relatively conductive strata, and the authors found that the matrix channeled radio frequency as a waveguide when the wavelength, \(\lambda\), was around twice the layer thickness.

As part of our magnetic nanomappers program\textsuperscript{17}, we recently proposed a similar detection scheme, proximity sensing, with potential application in certain reservoirs fortuitously sealed by highly resistive materials, e.g., anhydrite. The method may also apply to other evaporites — halite and gypsum — and certain resistive carbonates, e.g., chalk and dolomite. Our method exploits the observation that the lower bounding layer — the petroleum filled porous reservoir — is only somewhat conductive, and is an imperfect bounding layer that will allow a traveling wave to “sample” the reservoir to present, in combination with the resistive channel, an effective medium that modulates the transport properties of the channel, i.e., velocity, amplitude and dispersion, in a way that depends on the fluid saturations in the reservoir.

The concept was successfully simulated numerically with 2D\textsuperscript{18} and 3D\textsuperscript{19} models. The present work describes a physical demonstration using a 1 m scale model reservoir probed in transmission mode using a 1.3 GHz ground penetrating radar (GPR) system.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

The reservoir model, Fig. 1, was fabricated from a polyethylene intermediate bulk container tote tank measuring 1.15 m × 0.95 m × 1.0 m in width, length, and height, respectively. The main matrix consisted of washed medium sand — 10 mesh to 40 mesh. To mimic a brine saturated reservoir, sand was saturated with sodium chloride at 50 g/l (50 kppm) dissolved in water; some 35 vol% of brine was required to saturate the sand matrix. In some experiments, dry sand was used to mimic a hydrocarbon reservoir matrix. A number (two to five) of 5 cm thick slabs of closed cell polystyrene foam were
used to mimic anhydrite as the EM channel with various thicknesses — 10 cm to 25 cm. The EM channel was 60 cm wide in all experiments and extended the full length of the reservoir tank — 95 cm. EM transients were recorded with a MALA ProEx GPR system using a pair (transmitter (Tx) and receiver (Rx)) of shielded separable microwave antennas. Each antenna includes a Wu-King Bow-Tie dipole antenna that yields an overdamped two-cycle transient with a fundamental frequency of 1.3 GHz — wavelength ~23 cm in free space.

The emitted frequency is polarized and propagates as transverse EM radiation. Transients were recorded at 10 Hz using a 20 GHz sampling frequency and 64 stacks; 10 seconds of data were recorded at each station, and the ensemble was averaged to optimize the signal-to-noise ratio before picking arrival times. In this model system, the signal will propagate faster through the foam than the surrounding sand, due to the EM properties of each material, Table 1.

Two main experiments were performed, and different data sets for each were obtained with the electric field oriented perpendicular or parallel to the plane of the EM channel. The first experiment varied the channel thickness, $t$, to study the effect of the $t/\lambda$ ratio. In the second, 2D tomograms ($9 \text{ cm} \times 9 \text{ cm}$ on 10 cm centers) were obtained across the channel with left and right halves of the channel bounded by brine saturated sand, and dry sand, respectively, to directly observe the proximity sensing effect, if any, and demonstrate the mapping of the EM properties of the medium adjacent to the EM channel. Three different channel thicknesses were used: 10 cm, 15 cm, and 20 cm. Tomograms were inverted from 81 individual transients using bh_tomo20. In all experiments, arrival times for each transient were picked by hand.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Effect of Channel Thickness

In the first experiment, the channel thickness was varied from 5 cm to 25 cm in 5 cm steps, to investigate the effect on signal amplitude, and the speed of propagation. The (Tx) and (Rx) were located at the center of the channel on either side of the model, as previously shown in Fig. 1. Figures 2 and 3 show the traveltimes through the channel for vertical and horizontal polarization, respectively. Since the channel has a dielectric constant of 1.2, the 1.3 GHz probe has a wavelength of ~21 cm, and should have a velocity of $\sim 3.3 \text{ nS/m}$. This indeed is just the observed velocity in channels comparable to that wavelength or greater, regardless of the polarization. Interestingly, the transit time increases — velocity decreases — when the channel thickness is less than the wavelength for both polarizations. In the vertical case, the signal is slowed by about 10% when the channel is less than about $\lambda/2$ (10 cm). At $\lambda/2$, the channel is equivalent to a waveguide with nodes at each wall, but at $\lambda/4$ (5 cm), the wave is “forced” to sample the bounding medium.

Consistent with our original hypothesis, the leaky boundary with a higher dielectric constant retards the wave and increases transit time. The modest effect could indicate that the electric field does not readily penetrate the relatively conductive bounding medium, the brine filled sand. The retardation is profound for the horizontally polarized signal — around a 60% increase in transit time with a $\lambda/4$ channel thickness. When the electric field is horizontal, the perpendicular magnetic component of the transverse EM wave is forced, and indeed able, to enter and penetrate the conductive bounding medium. This fascinating and unexpected result is reminiscent of birefringence of light in calcite crystals, which is due to a varying velocity, depending on the polarization alignment with key crystal axes.

According to our hypothesis, by using a waveguide to propagate EM signals with the $\lambda$ comparable to channel thickness, the energy will be contained within the channel...
and attenuation will be lower than when forced to sample the higher conductivity reservoir by thinner channels. To test this claim, the maximum peak-to-peak amplitude of the signal was measured for each of the channel thicknesses (5 cm, 10 cm, 15 cm, 20 cm, and 25 cm); the results for vertical and horizontal polarization are shown in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively.

In the vertical case, the signal amplitude is essentially constant for a channel thickness of $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ (10 cm) or greater — similar to the velocity previously mentioned — and consistent with waveguide behavior. At $\frac{\lambda}{4}$ (5 cm), the signal amplitude drops by roughly a factor of 20. Plainly, when the channel has to function as a leaky planar transmission line, the conductive bounding layer attenuates the probe signal. It is worthwhile to note that had the thin channel functioned solely as a waveguide at $\frac{\lambda}{4}$ — half the cutoff frequency — expected attenuation would have been hundreds of orders of magnitude. The effect is also true for the horizontal polarization, although the observed attenuation is roughly a factor of 5 for the $\frac{\lambda}{4}$ case, and significant losses are incurred for any channel thickness less than the $\lambda$. Our original hypotheses of transmission line behavior and proximity sensing is confirmed by these simple observations.

These results suggest that there is a significant practical tradeoff between sensitivity and attenuation — as a function of the ratio of channel thickness to probe wavelength.

Frequencies that result in wavelengths larger than the channel thickness will provide more information about the surrounding signals, but at the cost of higher attenuation and reduced range. This implies that a practical system should have a tunable frequency, and hopefully controllable polarization to optimize the range while controlling sensitivity, and perhaps the depth of investigation into the reservoir.

**Tomography via Proximity Sensing**

Our hypothesis also claims that changes in fluid saturation and their specific EM properties in the reservoirs adjacent to the channel will modulate the transported signal in either traveltime or amplitude, or both. To test this claim, the initial lab model was modified such that half of the channel was covered with a layer of dry sand, Fig. 6. As before, multiple layers of foam were used to vary the height of the channel to include 10 cm, 15 cm, and 20 cm. If our approach is sensitive to saturation changes in adjacent layers, we should see a difference in traveltime through the channel between wet sand and dry sand. For each channel thickness, 81 waveforms were recorded by placing the Tx and the Rx in nine different positions each, in a tomographic manner, Fig. 7.

Arrival times were picked manually and inversion was done using the least squares approach in bh_tomo for each different channel thickness. The output is a 2D velocity map.

---

Fig. 4. Peak-to-peak amplitude as a function of channel thickness with the E-field oriented vertically.

Fig. 5. Peak-to-peak amplitude as a function of channel thickness with the E-field oriented horizontally.

Fig. 6. Cross-section along the depth of the lab scale model (top), showing that half of the channel is covered with a layer of dry sand. The bottom image is a 3D perspective. The red lines represent the line along which the Rx and Tx were moved.
of the plane formed by the Rx and the Tx acquisition lines. The inverted data presented corresponds to the region around the foam channel. Figures 8, 9, and 10, respectively, show the resulting velocity tomograms for channel thicknesses of 10 cm, 15 cm, and 20 cm, using horizontal polarization. Each image clearly shows an upper high velocity region (red), and a lower low velocity region (blue).

Overall, velocities increase with increasing channel thickness, where the signal can primarily propagate through the low loss, and low dielectric constant foam. Interestingly, the upper region, corresponding to a 10 cm slab of dry sand is faster than the narrower channel bounded by lossy high dielectric constant brine filled sand. We also observe that the slow region appears to be consistently smaller in size than the upper half bounded by dry sand. This is perhaps an artifact of the simple (and fast) ray tracing algorithm used by bh_tomo to invert the arrival time data. From above, the signal through the 10 cm channel may be significantly attenuated compared to the signal through the region bounded by dry sand. Signal competition along with “short cut” paths through the faster region could mask part of the slow region. This could be refined with a full wave inversion algorithm, albeit with significantly greater computational effort.

Tomographic results were similar for vertically polarized probes, and a bit faster, as expected from the simple channel measurements. Clearly, these tomographic results show that the EM properties of the medium bounding of a low loss channel modulate the primary probe signal, and can be exploited to map those properties. In practice, this appears to allow the development of a scheme to detect and map fluid saturations over substantial areas in reservoirs sealed by low loss materials, i.e., anhydrite.

As noted in the simple transport measurements in the first experiment, the proximity effect of brine filled matrix (slow and lossy) on velocity is profoundly different for vertical and
horizontal polarized signals. This is almost certainly due to the ability of brine to screen the electric field component of transverse EM probes (vertical), while allowing greater penetration of the magnetic component in horizontally polarized probes. Considering our original motivation to develop a scheme for detecting magnetic nanomapper fluids, we can anticipate that such magnetic fluids would screen magnetic fields effectively. This, we think, would provide a means to distinguish and map previously injected nonmagnetic water-flood fluids compared to magnetic nanomapper loaded fluids that might be injected in the future. This could perhaps be performed with exquisite sensitivity and determined directly without the need for before and after imaging surveys. This will be explored experimentally at the laboratory scale in a future work.

Finally, we can consider the potential range and resolutions that might be achievable in reservoir management using this new proximity sensing technique. From the current experimental results, we can see that the channel efficiently transports signals with a wavelength approximately equal to its thickness. Doubling the wavelength, relative to the channel thickness, forces the probe signal to sample the material proximal to the channel — modulating the speed by a factor of two, and amplitude by a factor of 10.

Assuming we can easily measure speed and amplitude in a cross-well imaging survey with an accuracy of 5%, it seems reasonable to expect that we can determine changes in key EM properties of the bounding layer, e.g., \( \sigma \), \( \varepsilon \), and \( \mu \), with similar accuracy and perhaps thickness to within \( \lambda/20 \). A petroleum reservoir sealed with a 30 m layer of anhydrite could therefore potentially be mapped with a resolution of 30 m in the horizontal plane, and about 1.5 m vertically. This is sufficient for our original target requirement for actionable information to guide reservoir management and development planning. The question of range will have to await field tests for a definitive answer.

In the meantime, we can perhaps extrapolate from the RIM experience in coal mines. Fortuitously, the analogy is well founded, since the EM properties of anthracite are almost identical to that of anhydrite (\( \varepsilon \approx 4 \) and \( \sigma \approx 10^4 \Omega m \)). A key RIM paper reported a range of 300 m through a 2 m thick coal seam using a resonant mode probe at \( \approx 1 \) MHz. Since wavelength will scale with channel thickness and attenuation scales inversely with wavelength, we could potentially achieve ranges 10x greater, around 3 km, through a 30 m thick channel. Giant and super-giant carbonate petroleum reservoirs with anhydrite seals matching these specifications are well-known.

**CONCLUSIONS**

A meter scale reservoir model was constructed and probed with a GPR system to experimentally confirm earlier simulations of the proximity sensing concept. The experimental results were consistent with the trends observed in previous numerical simulations. The results clearly demonstrate that the method is sensitive to changes in fluid saturation — wet sand vs. dry sand. We expect that this approach will be sensitive enough to distinguish oil saturated regions from brine saturated regions in petroleum reservoirs. The measurements also proved that there is a tradeoff between attenuation and sensitivity.

Generally speaking, lower frequencies will result in greater sensitivity, but increased attenuation, which is counter to what is normally observed when propagating through the reservoir rather than a waveguide. Therefore, frequency will be a key design parameter for future field testing and should be chosen such that the wavelength is comparable to the thickness of the channel being used to propagate the signal. Simple ray tracing tomographic inversion based on arrival times suffice for imaging variations in EM properties of bounding layers. Full wave inversion methods are likely to improve imaging accuracy while providing additional structural details within slower regions.

Proximity sensing is a new approach to address the challenge of long-range EM propagation in the reservoir, in the presence of conductive media. The proposed method relies on the use of naturally occurring waveguides in the form of a thick layer of sealing evaporate — anhydrite — bounded porous petroleum reservoirs. When deployed at a field scale, this approach could provide improved inter-well reservoir saturation information with unprecedented range and resolution. Future experimental work will include quantitative measurements of proximity modulation due to the variation in thickness, conductivity, dielectric constant, and magnetic permeability of the bounding layers.
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ABSTRACT

Wettability is an essential concept to understand oil trapping due to adhesion and capillary forces, and it depends on the fluid/rock molecular interactions within the thin liquid layer on the pore surface. The conventional methods for wettability determination exploit its macroscopic averaging effects during various displacement processes. These methods are sufficient for general categorization; however, they are not easily used in the wettability alteration study, which is one of the most important oil mobilization mechanisms for a carbonate reservoir. The direct characterization of the microdynamics of liquid molecules on the pore surface would provide not only the measurement of wettability but also the understanding of how added materials affect fluid molecular dynamics and alter the wettability for oil mobilization. This study is to extend the application of the newly developed nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) technique and fast field cycling (FFC) NMR relaxometry to more fluids and rock samples as the first stage for the above objectives.

Creating an NMR dispersion profile by FFC NMR is a low field magnetic resonance technique, which measures the longitudinal spin relaxation over a wide range of Larmor frequencies determined by magnetic field strength. The dependency of the spin-lattice relaxation rate on the Larmor frequency allows geoscientists to identify the liquid molecular dynamic patterns on the pore surface and determine the respective correlation times. The NMR dispersion affinity or wettability index was obtained from the time scales of the molecular translation and chemical exchange based on the dipolar interactions in the proximity of the paramagnetic spins. Only limited NMR dispersion results of fluid/rock systems and saturation states have been reported. This work utilizes a wide bore FFC NMR relaxometer to obtain NMR dispersion on more fluids and rock samples of different mineralogy, and analyzes their NMR dispersion features with spin relaxation models.

The current study obtains the NMR dispersion curves and qualitative affinity/wettability estimation for different fluid/rock systems, including brine, mineral oil, crude oil, sandstone, limestone, and carbonate reservoir rocks. The dynamic patterns of liquid molecules are evaluated through NMR dispersion features for their adhesive degree on the pore surface. The comparison with the supposed wettability property indicates that they correlate well, and the wettability states are better understood through their molecular dynamics.

The NMR dispersion measurement and interpretation of various fluid/rock systems extend its application; the determination of microdynamic patterns and parameters explains different wettability states from the molecular level. This study set the foundation for its application extended to fluid/rock systems at various saturation states, and wettability alteration monitoring and optimizing during different oil mobilization processes.

INTRODUCTION

Wettability is defined as “the tendency of one fluid to spread on or adhere to a solid surface in the presence of other immiscible fluids”\(^1\). It determines the spatial distribution of different fluids in the pore space, which affects virtually all rock/fluid properties and processes essential to hydrocarbon mobilization, especially for carbonate reservoirs. The wettability of most carbonate reservoirs is slightly oil-wet, intermediate wet to slightly water-wet\(^2\) and the usual methods such as Amott and USBM methods are not very sensitive to the intermediate wet range. Furthermore, wettability alteration is one of the primary drive mechanisms of chemically enhanced oil mobilization from the matrix in naturally fractured carbonate reservoirs. It is also an essential mechanism in low salinity and SmartWater flooding. The wettability alteration is often measured by contact angle, which does not provide insights on underlying chemical or physical processes. It is desirable to reveal the microscopic interactions to optimize different oil mobilization processes further.

The rock/fluid interfacial phenomenon and wettability alteration of the oil mobilization processes are often understood from the molecular interactions within the thin layers of the rock/fluids’ interface. The observation of the rock surfaces and fluids on the surfaces at a microscopic scale provides direct evidence for any hypothesis. Various microscopic imaging techniques have been employed to investigate the rock/fluid interactions and wettability alteration for oil mobilization mechanisms by chemicals, and other agents such as SmartWater. Atomic force microscopy was employed by Kumar et
et al. (1997) and Korb et al. (1999) are among the first to derive the shapes and size of the asphaltene aggregates. Recently, Singer et al. (2017) proposed a new NMR relaxation model based on 1H-1H dipole-dipole interactions instead of surface paramagnetism. The similar relaxation model is also employed to fit the NMR dispersion curves of crude oil containing asphaltene in macroporous materials such as silica carbide packing and Lavoux limestone, and applied the relaxation models to study the liquid micro-dynamic properties by NMR dispersion. They studied the relaxation rate as the function of frequency on protic fluids, e.g., water, and aprotic fluids, e.g., acetone and acetonitrile, in chromatographic microporous glass beads with paramagnetic contaminants. Two models were proposed to explain the logarithmic and power different behaviors, and the surface diffusion coefficient was derived. The logarithmic dispersion was considered, due to the intermolecular dipolar relaxation of diffusing molecules in the vicinity of paramagnetic ions on the pore surface, where relaxation is modulated by 2D translational diffusion. The subsequent studies extended to water and oil (dodecane) in macroporous materials such as silica carbide packing and Lavoux limestone, and applied the relaxation models to NMR dispersion introducing a microscopic surface affinity index. The index is defined as the ratio of surface residence time ($\tau_s$) and 2D translational surface correlation time ($\tau_{\perp}$) based on the theoretical relaxation model. Intuitively, larger indices indicate more re-encounters during the molecule surface residence time, and a greater affinity of the fluid onto the rock’s surface. The method was employed to study the bimodal carbonate rock revealing pore size dependency of wettability. Other researchers apply the NMR dispersion technique to study the fluid/solid surface interactions in other porous media such as oil shale, porous ceramic, and catalyst. The similar relaxation model is also employed to fit the NMR dispersion curves of crude oil containing asphaltene to derive the shapes and size of the asphaltene aggregates. Recently, Singer et al. (2017) proposed a new NMR relaxation model based on 1H-1H dipole-dipole interactions instead of surface paramagnetism. The details of the FFC NMR are presented later. This study is to obtain NMR dispersion curves of more rock and fluid types, and to analyze them for the molecular dynamics and wettability characterization.

EXPERIMENTAL MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGIES

Materials

Core samples. Samples of different mineralogy and pore systems were collected and selected for this study. They include two types of sandstones; Berea sandstones, and one reservoir sandstone was selected for their water wetness. Different types of limestones included Indiana limestone, low permeability limestone, and multiple modality carbonates. The carbonates are selected based on their multiple pore systems. Sister plug samples with similar $T_2$ distributions for each type are used to compare NMR dispersions at different saturation states.

Fluids. Deionized (DI) water was used to saturate the rock samples to evaluate the property of water only, which would be used as a baseline for any probable effects from salinity or particular ions. Mineral oil and light crude oil are used as the oil phases. The S3 mineral oil is a certified viscosity reference standard (3.5 cP at 25 °C). It is known that the asphaltene present in crude oil affects the NMR dispersion. The comparison of mineral oil and crude oil NMR dispersions in rock facilitates the separation of the effects from asphaltene and the rock surface.

FFC NMR Technique

The NMR dispersion is performed on a FFC Spinmaster 2000 relaxometer from Stelar s.r.l., Italy. It has two magnets; 1T for liquids, and a wide bore for 1” diameter cores. The magnetic field strength (B) range of the 1T magnet expressed by Larmor frequencies ($\omega = \gamma B$) is from 0.01 MHz to 42.65 MHz, and the range of the wide bore magnet is from 0.01 MHz to 18 MHz. The sample temperature of 25 °C is maintained by blowing heated air through the sample bore. Kimmich and Anoardo (2004) provided a comprehensive review. Steele et al. (2015) gave an excellent review of the recent developments of FFC NMR relaxometry. The following introduction focuses on the data acquisition for better quality and data format for subsequent analysis.

The NMR dispersion curve is composed of $T_1$ measurements obtained with NMR sequences at different magnetic field strengths. Unlike the permanent magnet NMR, where the constant magnetic field is always present, the magnetic field of FFC NMR is generated by a large electrical current for short time periods. NMR sequences different from common inversion and saturation sequences for $T_1$ were devised. Figure 1a illustrates the nonpolarized sequence, and Fig. 1c illustrates the pre-polarized sequence. Figures 1b and 1d show their free induction decay (FID) measured at one relaxation time ($\tau$) field, respectively.

The nonpolarized sequence is very similar to the saturation sequence of the permanent magnet’s NMR during which the magnetic moment increases or recovers from zero to a maximum value under one stable relaxation field, and it is measured by varying $\tau$. The FID shown in Figs. 1b and 1d is measured from a longer $\tau$ to a shorter $\tau$. Compared to the nonpolarized sequence, the polarized sequence applies the polarized magnetic field ($B_{\text{pol}}$) for a duration larger than 5 times of $T_{1\text{max}}$ first, so that the relaxation measurement at a low and very low relaxation magnetic field becomes practical with the consistent sensitivity — high signal-to-noise ratio...
of a higher field since the signal-to-noise ratio is proportional to the square root of the Larmor frequency. The signal decays to a lower value corresponding to the relaxation field instead of zero when there is no magnetic field. The FID of both sequences is acquired at one constant acquisition field.

As can be seen from Figs. 1b and 1d, the FID at each relaxation time was measured. The time to complete a whole NMR dispersion curve can be very lengthy, and range from 10 to 20 hours, depending on the $T_{1\text{max}}$. The $\tau$ can be equally spaced in logarithmic and linear scales, respectively, while the logarithm concentrates on the short $\tau$, and the linear on the longer $\tau$. Estimated from the initial measurement, the $\tau$ can be customized to concentrate on the range of interest, which saves time and improves efficiency. For samples with the short $T_1$, a logarithmic scale was used while for samples of medium and long $T_1$, a customized list focusing on the $\tau$ of interest was used. There were either 30 equally spaced $\tau$ values for logarithmic scale, or 28 $\tau$ values for the customized list, to cover the whole gain or decay curves where the maximum $\tau$ equals $4 \times T_{1\text{max}}$ as default. Here, 25 or 30 frequencies were all equally spaced in a logarithmic scale from 0.01 MHz to 18 MHz.

**Experimental Procedure**

A simple experimental work flow is shown in Fig. 2. The dry and clean core samples were first saturated with DI water after being in a vacuum for more than 8 hours. The $T_2$ distributions of the 100% water saturated (Sw) samples were obtained using a 12.8 MHz Maran Ultra spectrometer from Oxford Instruments for the pore size distributions and verification. The NMR dispersion curves were obtained on all Sw samples. Then the core samples were divided into two groups based on their mineralogy and pore size distributions with each group containing one of the sister samples.

Five pore volumes of mineral oil were injected through the Group 1 samples to reach irreducible water $(D_2O)$ saturation, and $D_2O$ saturation with mineral oil and crude oil. The samples of Group 2 were dried in the oven again at 110 °C overnight and saturated with mineral oil directly after being in a vacuum. Then they were flooded with five pore volumes of crude oil. NMR dispersion curves were obtained at 100% mineral and crude oil saturation.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Core Sample Pore Structure by $T_2$ Distributions

The $T_2$ distributions of the 100% DI Sw sample are illustrated in Fig. 3. They were divided into two groups, a single fluid, which was always 100% saturated with one fluid, and two fluids, which was a D$_2$O saturation after 100% Sw measurement, so as to compare the NMR dispersion of the same flood at different saturations. Berea sandstone — BS1 and BS2 — are sister samples in Fig. 3a. Indiana limestone — I2 and I3 — are sister samples in Fig. 3b. They mainly have very similar pore structures. Similarly, carbonates were paired according to the multiple modalities. Samples 105 and 130, 131 and 128, 53 and 65, 92 and 284, were selected as sister samples based on the pore structure shown in Figs. 3c and 3d, with similar distributions. Sample 135 is a sandstone; Indiana limestone IL703 is typically bimodal, which can be compared to carbonate samples of close pore systems. Sample 359 is a homogeneous limestone of low permeability.

NMR Dispersion of Bulk Fluids

The NMR dispersion curves of water, S3 mineral oil, and crude oil are presented in Fig. 4a. The relaxation rates of DI water and S3 mineral oil are 2.55 seconds and 0.48 seconds, respectively. They do not change with frequency as expected in bulk liquids. The relaxation rate uniformity of DI water and S3 mineral oil reflects the consistency and accuracy of measurements over the frequency range with unpolarized and polarized sequences. For example, the $T_1$ values of DI...
water mostly fall into the range of 2.55 ± 0.05 seconds, indicated in Fig. 4b. The $T_1$ log mean of the S3 mineral oil is 0.50 seconds by saturation sequence, and 0.53 seconds by inversion sequence using 12.8 MHz spectrometers.

As shown from the oil NMR dispersion trend line, the NMR dispersion of crude oil has a logarithmic frequency dependency indicating a small amount of asphaltene present in this crude\textsuperscript{14}. Korb et al. (2013)\textsuperscript{14} obtained the residence $\tau_m$ and 2D translational diffusion $\tau_{n}$, is obtained from the fitting using the equations. Other parameters such as surface density of paramagnetic impurities are needed for the fitting, which are not currently available for all core samples to obtain the affinity index. The affinity index can be still assessed qualitatively from the NMR dispersion since the large slope indicates a large affinity index or water wetness for the same types of samples in these studies.

In Fig. 5b, the NMR dispersion of all samples are mono-logarithmic frequency dependent with the exception of Sample 92. The slopes of four 100% S3 mineral oil saturated samples — Samples BS3, I2, 53, and 105 — are smaller than the 100% water and crude oil saturated samples, especially bi-logarithmic frequency dependent while others — Samples IL703, 135, 53, and 65, with trend lines — are mono-logarithmic frequency dependent. Godfroy et al. (2001)\textsuperscript{7} and Korb et al. (2003)\textsuperscript{8} observed both NMR dispersion mono-logarithmic and bi-logarithmic dependency of water in silica carbide packing, outcrop and low permeability reservoir carbonate rocks, and fitted the data with respective equations. They attributed the difference to be caused by “the ligand field between the proton molecular species and paramagnetic impurities on the pore surface.” The affinity index, the ratio of residence $\tau$ and 2D translational diffusion $\tau_{n}$, is obtained from the NMR dispersion curves of bulk oil, S3 mineral oil, and water.

---

**100% Saturated with a Single Phase Fluid**

The NMR dispersion of 15 core samples at 100% Sw and bulk water are illustrated in Fig. 5a. The NMR dispersion of five core samples at 100% S3 mineral oil saturation, and five samples at 100% crude oil saturation are illustrated in Fig. 5b, along with bulk S3 mineral and crude oil.

In Fig. 5a, most samples — Samples BS1, BS3, I2, I3, 92, 105, 128, 130, 131, 284, and 359 — appear to be...
Sample BS3, whose NMR dispersion is the same as the bulk S3 mineral oil with a trend line. The low slopes may be associated with the molecular structure and size of this mineral oil affecting the interaction between paramagnetic ions and protons. Slopes of Sample 92 at 100% Sw and S3 mineral/crude oil saturations are the largest among the carbonate samples, and all samples. It might contain a higher percentage of paramagnetic impurities. The NMR dispersion slopes of the 100% crude oil saturated carbonate samples are comparable to the ones of 100% Sw, considering the NMR dispersion of crude oil with a trend line in the graph. For sister samples, the Berea sandstones — BS1 and BS3 — and Indiana limestones — Sample I2 and I3 — their slopes of 100% Sw and crude oil saturation are similar.

It is interesting to compare the NMR dispersion of 100% Sw and crude oil saturation among sample groups and sister samples for understanding wettability, which indicates the rock surface preference to different fluids. For example, the Berea sandstones are known to be typically water-wet, and Indiana limestones are intermediate wet or weakly water-wet. The water affinity of Indiana limestone — Samples I2 and I3 — is even slightly larger than the Berea sandstones — Samples BS1 and BS3 — from 100% Sw. What may render the Berea sandstones more water-wet than Indiana limestone is their small oil affinity indicated by Sample BS3 at 100% S3 mineral oil saturation and Sample BS1 at 100% crude oil saturation. Another example is the comparison between two carbonate samples — Samples S3 and 105 — which have NMR dispersion of all three fluids at 100% saturation. Their NMR dispersion at 100% S3 mineral oil and crude oil saturation are very close, and a larger slope of Sample 105 at 100% Sw indicates its more water-wet than Sample S3. The quantitative comparison can be performed from the affinity index calculation in a future study.

**D$_2$O Saturation**

Figure 6a shows the NMR dispersion for the Berea and Indiana sandstone samples at D$_2$O saturation. Figure 6b shows the NMR dispersion of the carbonate samples saturated with bulk mineral and crude oil; trend lines are shown for comparison. They were obtained after oil flood without an extended aging period. The NMR dispersion was from mineral oil and crude oil, as D$_2$O was used as a water phase. The study will continue monitoring any changes with time, and water may be introduced for the NMR dispersion of a water phase at the end.

Since the mineral oil was injected into 100% D$_2$O saturated samples, it does not contact all rock surfaces in the presence of water despite the wettability. It is expected that the NMR dispersion of both mineral and crude oil fall between bulk oil without the effect of a rock surface, and 100% oil saturation with the full effect of a rock surface. The results do not fully verify this assumption. It is not obvious for the S3 mineral oil samples due to the small slopes; however, they are comparable to the ones in Fig. 5b.

For crude oil samples, some samples agree with the above assumption, such as Samples 284, BS1, 135, and 284, which indicates a smaller oil affinity index. Some samples, such as Samples 65, 130, and 359 have comparable slopes, and others such as Samples 13 and 284 have larger slopes approaching 100% crude oil, and are larger than the average of four carbonates previously seen in Fig. 5b. The slopes of IL703 at 100% Sw and D$_2$O with crude oil are close, and they are the largest among all samples. It appears that paramagnetic impurities somehow tend to interact with protons relaxation rather effectively despite D$_2$O.

**CONCLUSIONS**

NMR dispersion was obtained from core samples of different mineralogy and pore types at various saturation states. The wettability of common types of cores selected was analyzed based on their affinity to water and oil from the assessment of their NMR dispersion at various saturation states. The following conclusions can be drawn from the current study on the core sample selected:
1. The affinity of both water and oil to the surface of most core samples is significant. The wettability is determined by their relative strength.

2. The water affinity of sandstones is not the largest of all core samples, and their low oil affinity indicated at 100% oil saturation and D2O saturation contribute to a water-wet property.

3. Both water and oil affinity of carbonate samples are small to moderate. The water affinity can be small while the oil affinity is above a specific value, which makes the carbonate sample intermediate wet.

4. The water and oil affinity of the limestone samples may vary considerably, and they can be relatively strong water-wet or oil-wet.

5. The oil has access to the rock surface of most samples at D2O saturation, especially the carbonate samples, due to their relatively strong oil affinity.
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Surface to Borehole Electromagnetics for 3D Waterflood Monitoring: Results from First Field Deployment

Dr. Daniele Colombo, Gary W. McNeice, Dr. Nestor H. Cuevas, and Mauro Pezzoli

ABSTRACT

Monitoring the waterflood oil recovery process is a difficult task for seismic-based methods in hard carbonate reservoirs. The changes in velocity/density due to water-oil substitution are too small when compared to the errors involved in repeating the measurements. We detail the development of a novel technique based on surface-to-borehole controlled source electromagnetics (CSEM), which exploits the large contrast in resistivity between injected water and oil to derive 3D resistivity distributions (proportional to saturations) in the reservoir.

Surface-to-borehole CSEM responses using surface electric transmitters and borehole electromagnetic (EM) receivers were modeled using a black oil simulator for a large oil field in the Middle East. Results indicate that the vertical component of the electric field ($E_z$) can be used to detect waterfront changes in 2- and 5-year time-lapse scenarios. A surface-to-borehole acquisition system was engineered comprising the development of a powerful, custom-made electric transmitter — 2,000 volts (V)/500 amperes (amp) — and borehole electric and magnetic field sensors. The surface-to-borehole 3D CSEM technology was deployed for the first field trial in the same well used for the modeling study.

The field demonstration was carried out in 2017, comprising 144 permanent surface electrodes drilled in a radial configuration around a vertical observation well. The current electrodes were designed in an “L” pattern to form 48 inline (radial) and 48 cross-line (tangential) dipoles at a nominal range of 600 m to 3,500 m from the vertical observation well. A wireline sensor array comprising two vertical $E_z$ and two vertical magnetic field sensors recorded the EM signal transmitted from the surface in regularly spaced positions in the reservoir section. Surface measurements of transient EM, CSEM and magnetotellurics (MT) were recorded together with the borehole acquisition to characterize the overburden and the shallow subsurface.

The acquired data set was processed to increase the signal-to-noise content of the data as well as to correct for casing effects and surface distortions. A marked asymmetry of the EM responses is recorded in the vicinity of the observation well, which translates, after 3D inversion, to resistivity distributions consistent with the saturation/production logs acquired in the nearby wells. The sensitivity of the inversion extends up to 1.8 km away from the observation well. Analysis of the measurement repetition errors compared to predicted EM responses after 2 and 5 years indicates that time-lapse surveys would provide detailed mapping and an independent estimation of saturation variations related to waterflooding.

The encouraging results obtained from the first surface-to-borehole CSEM survey in a producing oil field suggests that the technology may become an important tool for analyzing the waterfront evolution in the interwell space. By doing this, the technology is expected to enhance reservoir management and history matching.

INTRODUCTION

Primary or secondary oil recovery methods rely on waterflooding where water is injected at the periphery of the reservoir to sustain production at the crest of the structure. Monitoring of the injected water movement in the reservoir is of primary importance for optimizing reservoir management. Geophysical technologies need to be developed to assist the detection and the mapping of the waterfront evolution in the reservoir. Seismic-based methods provide limited sensitivity to the water-oil substitution in typical hard carbonate reservoirs. The repeatability of seismic measurements in land conditions is also problematic and subject to large spatial and seasonal variability of the near surface layer.

Gravity measurements, considering only borehole sensing at the reservoir level, are estimated to achieve repeatability errors of 10 µGal or larger in the vertical component. In Middle East carbonate reservoirs, modeling of the gravity response after two years of waterflooding is below the noise threshold at 500 m from the waterfront. Electromagnetic (EM) methods provide better opportunities for monitoring since the changes in bulk reservoir resistivity caused by water-oil substitution can be in the range of 1 order of magnitude or more. The rapid decay of the EM signal — skin depth effect — suggests that the expected sensitivity and resolution can be achieved only by measuring the EM fields in proximity to the reservoir.

Controlled source electromagnetic (CSEM) techniques for
reservoir fluid characterization and monitoring are applied on a commercial basis for cross-well configurations. The method is based on EM induction and as such uses magnetic sources and magnetic receivers. While successfully implemented in many field trials, the method is limited to 2D geometries — relatively short distances between wells — and its sensitivity is biased toward detecting conductors. Modeling has shown that the setup of magnetic sources and magnetic receivers in a 3D surface-to-borehole configuration does not provide a useful signal above the estimated noise floor.

For such configurations, an electric source needs to be adopted. Realistic modeling of 3D surface-to-borehole CSEM, using resistivity distributions derived from a black oil reservoir simulator, suggested that the vertical component of the electric field \( E_z \) is the only component of the EM radiation showing a signal above the estimated noise floor for water-front variation in 2 years. Other results from the study were that the sensitivity to the reservoir conditions for the specific acquisition setup was up to 2 km from the well and that the assumed noise floor in the measurement would have required a source moment of at least 10,000 amps. The results of the study led to modifications of the cross-well EM acquisition system where vertical \( E_z \) sensors were added to the existing magnetic field sensors. A powerful custom electric current source was also developed. The source is capable of transmitting (Tx) coded waveforms with up to 2,000 V (peak-to-peak) at 500 amps to the ground.

This acquisition system was used to perform the world’s first surface-to-borehole CSEM project for detecting and monitoring the evolution of the waterfront around a test well in a large onshore oil field. In this article we detail the results and the work planned for the interpretation of the rich data set acquired.

**SURVEY DESIGN**

3D surface-to-borehole CSEM data were acquired in a deep (reservoir level) research well drilled near the known water-front position. Surface current dipoles (Tx antennas) were prepared by drilling shallow boreholes and completing them with a steel pipe coupled to the rock formations using a slurry of carbon backfill — coke breeze — to achieve sufficient electrical contact, Fig. 1. The electrode setup was completed by cementing the top. Electrodes forming the dipole antennas were installed at a 200 m separation, forming a setup of 48...
inline (radial direction) and 48 crossline (tangential direction) dipoles generating a vertical $E_z$ and vertical magnetic field at the observation well, Fig. 2. An average contact resistance of 2.1 ohm was achieved for the 144 electrodes representing an excellent result, considering the dry surface conditions characteristic of the desert environment. A nominal current of 100 amps at the fundamental frequency of 8 Hz was transmitted to the ground enabling a source moment of 20,000 amps for each Tx position.

The borehole receiver comprised two vertical magnetic field sensors — H1 and H2 — and two vertical $E_z$ sensors — E1 and E2 — coupled to the borehole walls by means of well centralizers. The receiver array was operated as a wireline tool where special care had to be taken to insulate the electrodes from the wireline cable and from the body of the tool, Fig. 3. The receiver setup was operated by means of a crane, and the Tx receiver synchronization was provided by GPS timing. Possible distortions of the vertical $E_z$ signal at the Tx electrodes (typically called galvanic distortions or “statics”) can be generated by localized heterogeneities in the resistivity structure that need to be accurately described.

For this purpose, time domain EM measurements relying on EM induction — magnetic field measurements — were acquired for each electrode position at the surface to provide a detailed model of the near surface resistivity. Low frequency magnetotellurics (MT) and surface-surface CSEM data were also acquired to build a 3D model of the overburden. The additional data provides primary sensitivity to the overburden, and during interpretation allows us to control and separate the response of the overburden from the response of the reservoir.

The measured data are of good quality, and recorded the fundamental transmitted frequency of 8 Hz even from the most distant Tx positions at 3.5 km — horizontal distance — from the observation well. Figure 4 shows the spectra of the recorded fields for a Tx position located 2.6 km away from the well. The 8 Hz transmitted signal is clearly visible and is not affected by other signals such as telemetry or power line harmonics. Considering that this was the first time that such surface-to-borehole EM measurements were taken, this represented a first milestone of the experiment, suggesting that the acquisition setup was effective and working as expected.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

Figure 5 shows the data measured in the reservoir for different Tx offsets along one of the radial acquisition lines. Starting from the analysis of the vertical magnetic field, it can be seen that the amplitude measurements slowly decay with depth as the distance from the Tx source increases. The relative offset between the curves (color coded) is related to the increasing distance from the Tx position from the well, Fig. 5a. An azimuthal analysis around the well also shows small variations of the magnetic field suggesting little sensitivity to the reservoir saturation variations. The vertical $E_z$ measurements provide a large sensitivity to the resistivity structure at the well when compared with the resistivity log, Figs. 5b and 5c. Vertical changes in resistivity cause changes in the vertical $E_z$ at the borehole due to the requirement that the vertical current density be continuous.

Given that the EM sensitivity is mainly concentrated at the
source and receiver locations, it is clear the measured vertical Ez is primarily influenced by the reservoir resistivity structure at the well position. Using a reference resistivity of a vertical Ez logged section beneath the reservoir, we can calibrate the relative vertical Ez variations and reconstruct the reservoir resistivity profile directly from the vertical Ez measurements, Fig. 5c. The reconstructed resistivity distribution matches quite well with the log resistivity except for the upper section of the reservoir where the effect of the casing starts to influence the vertical Ez measurements. The vertical Ez measurements need to be corrected for the secondary field produced by the steel casing, which is rapidly decaying with increasing distance from the casing shoe. Estimation of the casing effects and its removal from the measured data is ongoing and different approaches are being evaluated, including semi-analytical and finite element solutions.

Azimuthal and offset variations in the data are compared using circular plots of the interpolated vertical Ez data and the corresponding resistivity variations derived from the reservoir simulator, Fig. 6. It should be noted that the vertical Ez data — phase in this case — is the residual value obtained from the difference between the observed data and the forward modeled fields using a constant reservoir resistivity, and the overburden horizontal (Rh) and vertical (Rv) resistivities are modeled based on the logs acquired in the observation well. A finite difference (FD) representation of the steel casing is also incorporated in the model during the forward calculation.

The residual vertical Ez phase data in Fig. 6 is therefore the primary source of information for the saturation-related resistivity distributions in the reservoir. Clearly, a marked anisotropy (azimuthal variation) exists from the W to the E directions relative to the well. This pattern is in very good agreement with the saturation estimates provided by the reservoir simulator. Similar spatial variations are observed in the vertical Ez amplitudes while variations in the magnetic field (amplitude or phase) are much smoother and lower amplitude, though they still correlate well with estimated reservoir saturation variations. These observations are in agreement with the results of the modeling study on the same well, which concluded that the only EM field with enough signal-to-noise to map reservoir saturation variations in the reservoir was the vertical Ez.

**Measurement Repeatability**

Until now, we have evaluated the sensitivity of the surface-to-borehole CSEM data to the static distribution of reservoir resistivity around the observation well. The next step is to analyze the sensitivity of the vertical Ez measurements to the variations of the waterfront in time — time-lapse analysis.

The first objective of the project, in fact, is to detect and map the variations of the waterfront position to enable the
use of this information for reservoir management decisions. Time-lapse analysis is useful for this goal because given a reliable baseline resistivity distribution, the time-related changes are occurring only in the reservoir and are unbiased by prior assumptions on saturation distributions. These observations of the waterfront movement are very important and an independent source of information that can be directly utilized in reservoir management. Effectiveness of time-lapse observations are inherently related to the amount of signal and amount of noise in the measurements that are also related to the rate of movement of the waterfront. It is therefore critical to analyze the amount of signal change produced in a certain time frame, or the time frame necessary to achieve a sufficient signal change above an estimated noise threshold.

Acquisition of a depth profile was repeated with an interval of four days from a Tx position at 2.3 km from the well. The vertical E<sub>z</sub> profiles in terms of amplitude and phase indicate overall good repeatability of the measurements, Fig. 7, where the upper section of the well performed better — 0.3% error in log amplitude and 1.2% error in phase — than the lower interval — 0.4% error in log amplitude and 4.7% error in phase — where the caliper information indicated the presence of a washout. The wellbore rugosity may have influenced the repeated measurements by tilting of the electrode assemblage that is galvanically coupled to the borehole walls by means of metal centralizers. Verticality is a very important prerequisite for vertical E<sub>j</sub> measurements<sup>13</sup>. Other sources of measurement errors can be related to depth errors in the positioning of the wireline tool (currently estimated to be a few tens of cm), intrinsic instrumentation noise and possible variations in the well fluids over time. During the survey, the well fluids were slowly depleting and required repeated pumping operations. Tilting, depth errors, and fluid composition variations

---

**Fig. 7. Repetition of the vertical E<sub>z</sub> depth profile from a Tx distance of 2.3 km from the well. The lower part of the well is affected by washout and shows larger repetition errors.**

---

**Fig. 8. Time-lapse electric field signal variations as a result of waterflooding (modeled data) vs. the vertical E<sub>z</sub> amplitude repetition error as measured in the well: (a) histogram showing the variations of the vertical E<sub>z</sub> signal over 2 and 5 years of waterflooding from modeling; (b) maximum field strength variations; and (c) comparison between repetition error and time-lapse field strength variations.**
can be modeled to obtain estimates of their impact on the measurements.

The repetition errors in the vertical $E_z$ are then compared to the estimated signal changes estimated from reservoir simulator modeling. The calculated 2-year and 5-year time-lapse vertical $E_z$ signal, normalized by the source moment and by the receiver electrode separation, is then compared to the amplitude differences from the repeated measurements, Fig. 8. A few observations can be made. The measurement noise threshold in the Colombo and McNeice (2013) study is estimated at $1 \times 10^{-12}$ V/m, which corresponds to the smallest error in the repeated measurements. The time-lapse signal due to waterflooding is estimated to be above the repetition noise after 2 years in the lower portion of the reservoir, and substantially above the time-lapse noise after 5 years, except where the measurements are influenced by the presence of casing. The repeatability analysis suggests that the time-lapse measurements should be able to provide the requested information about the waterfront evolution.

DATA INTERPRETATION WORKFLOW

Having assessed the signal-to-noise and repeatability of the surface-to-borehole measurements, we now identify the key steps to derive a robust estimate of 3D resistivity distribution. An analysis of the processing steps for data conditioning leading to the final 3D inversion is shown in Fig. 9. Some of the most important aspects in this workflow are modeling the steel casing effect and the strategies to account for it in the data. Steel casing has a strong signature on the measurement of the borehole’s vertical $E_z$, and strategies for dealing with it range from embedding a scaled up representation of the casing directly into the model using the material property mapping theory and FD or finite element numerical methods, or by modeling and subtracting its effects from the data using semi-analytical solutions. Here we have opted for a numerical representation of the casing effect modeling it by directly using a FD scheme while finite element and semi-analytical solutions are currently being explored. Figure 10 compares the responses of the semi-analytical solution with an FD solution obtained by embedding the casing in the model. The calculation is performed for a reservoir without casing and with casing at an increasing Tx distance from the well, and for different measurement depths in the reservoir, corresponding to an increasing distance from the casing shoe. The FD approach matches perfectly with the semi-analytical solution when the casing is not present and tends to approximate the semi-analytical solution as a function of the Tx distance and depth of observation in the reservoir when the casing is considered. At -70 m from the casing shoe, the FD solution is valid for Tx offsets greater than 500 m, while at -20 m from the casing shoe, the FD response matches the semi-analytical solution only when the transmitter is beyond 2 km from the well.

Galvanic distortions can occur at the source electrode sites. These effects are described as a local accumulation of charges caused by 3D heterogeneous resistivity distributions in the near surface and typically referred to as vertical $E_z$ “statics.” Such effects are well-known in MT and land CSEM measurements, and techniques to remove them based on magnetic induction methods are described in the literature. The vertical $E_z$ data measured in the borehole are well behaved showing a smooth azimuthal variation, suggesting that, if present, such galvanic distortion effects are of minor concern and could be dealt with directly in the inversion phase by solving for additional free parameters representing statics.

The last preparatory phase leading to the 3D inversion consists of overburden characterization. The 3D surface-to-borehole CSEM inversion problem is typically underdetermined with a much larger number of unknowns than observations. Such an inverse problem can be solved only by the introduction of constraints. The reservoir section is the target of the
inversion and is the part of the model that is subject to the largest resistivity variations both in static and dynamic, i.e., time-lapse, conditions due to waterflooding. The overburden is therefore the portion of the model that does not change but needs to be characterized for the static, i.e., present-day, 3D inversion to decouple it from the reservoir response.

The overburden resistivity structure is obtained from a combination of additional measurements and resistivity log analysis. A full suite of logs were acquired in the monitoring well from the surface to the reservoir comprising triaxial resistivity logs. The Rh and Rv resistivity models are obtained at the well location by an upscaling procedure, which takes into consideration both the cumulative conductance and the cumulative transverse resistance. An optimal geoelectric structure of the overburden suited for inversion is represented at the well position. Analysis of the cumulative conductance for nearby wells has shown that the general geoelectric structure is fairly homogeneous for the overburden section for three wells in the area, including the observation well and for two other wells at a distance of 1 km and 6 km, Fig. 11. The overburden is therefore assumed to be laterally homogeneous, and at this stage of the inversion work, it is derived primarily from upscaling vertical and horizontal well log resistivity measurements. Future refinements of the overburden resistivity structure will be obtained from the inversion of time domain EM, MT and CSEM (surface-surface) soundings.

The steps described here have led to a first 3D inversion for the reservoir resistivity distribution that represents the baseline for future time-lapse monitoring phases. In the framework of the 3D inversion, additional work is being conducted for the introduction of external regularization methods based on rock physics and/or structure operators. The external regularization can be embedded in the inversion procedure in a Bayesian approach as reference models, spatial structure regularization operators or as rock physics operators.

3D INVERSION

The 3D inversion for the reservoir resistivity distribution is performed using the vertical $E_z$ data at 8 Hz. A representation of the well casing is incorporated into the model using a FD scheme and material property averaging techniques as previously described. The inversion was run for a single depth of observation located 70 m below the casing shoe, which enables the use all the available $T_x$ data from about 500 m distance to the maximum offset at 3.5 km without incurring significant errors in the approximated steel casing representation. The geometry of the layers was derived from depth conversion of the 3D time domain seismic volume using the observation well for calibrating depths and velocities to tie the formation tops. Figure 12 shows the overburden Rh resistivity derived from the lateral extrapolation of the upscaled triaxial

![Fig. 11. Plot of the cumulative conductance for three wells in the area, including the observation well and two other wells at a distance of 1 km and 6 km.](image)

![Fig. 12. Overburden resistivity structure (Rh) used for the inversion and reservoir resistivity distribution from the simulator.](image)
log data at the observation well. The overburden resistivity structure is then fixed during the inversion.

The starting model for the reservoir section is initially set to a constant resistivity of 10 ohm·m to test the sensitivity and stability of the inversion process without additional constraints. The 3D surface-to-borehole CSEM inversion is carried out using a FD forward modeling algorithm with the nonlinear conjugate gradient method used for the minimization of the objective function. Inversion results starting from the uniform 10 ohm·m reservoir resistivity distribution show a net increase in the resistivity to the western side of the well, and a decrease of the resistivity to the eastern side, Fig. 13a. Inversion results are consistent from what was already observed from spatial variations in the vertical E_z.

Repeating the inversion using a smoothed version of the reservoir simulator resistivity distribution as a starting model further extends the sensitivity of the inversion to approximately 1.8 km from the monitoring well, Fig. 13b. The consistency of the two inversion results indicates the overall robustness of the inversion procedure with little dependency on the starting model. An independent evaluation of the inversion results is provided by the average oil saturation map derived from periodic carbon-oxygen (C/O) logging measurements from nearby wells. The overall shape of the recovered anomaly from inversion is consistent with the oil saturation observations from production logs, Fig. 13c.

The quality of the inversion results can be seen from the good match between the measured data and forward calculated fields after inversion for six radial profiles crossing the monitoring well, Fig. 14, resulting in an overall root-mean-squared misfit of 1.4.

---

Fig. 13. 3D inversion results using the vertical E_z component: (a) resistivity distribution by starting the inversion from a uniform 10 ohm·m reservoir resistivity model; (b) inversion result by starting from a smoothed version of the reservoir simulator resistivity distribution; and (c) reference average oil saturation from C/O production logs acquired in nearby wells.

Fig. 14. Data fit for the vertical E_z amplitude (points = data; curves = modeled fields) for the six radial profiles across the monitoring well.
CONCLUSIONS

A novel surface-to-borehole CSEM technology was tested for the first time over a relatively deep reservoir in a large onshore oil field. Several new aspects characterize the project and can be summarized as follows: development of new borehole vertical $E_z$ sensors shielded from spurious electric noise sources, development of a new powerful electric transmitter capable of producing 2,000 V and 500 amps with excellent current and phase stability for signal-to-noise enhancement through stacking, and a new design of shallow borehole surface electrodes incorporating engineering standards for the preparation of anode beds for cathodic protection. The surface electrode design was able to achieve low contact resistance — 2.1 ohm as average — in a desert environment, and minimized the impact of galvanic distortions caused by near surface heterogeneities.

Different approaches (numerical and analytical) implemented for accounting for the steel casing effects — some of the research still ongoing — proved to be effective to enable robust, 3D CSEM inversions. Static imaging of saturation-related resistivity distributions within the reservoir proved to be robust, showing little dependency on the starting model. The estimated resistivity distribution is consistent with independent saturation information derived from periodic C/O logging performed for production monitoring. Analysis of repeated measurements in the well during the surface-to-borehole CSEM survey indicates repetition errors below the expected time-lapse signal variation as predicted from modeling. The results provide positive indications for the repetition of the survey after 5 years of waterflooding, Fig. 15. Time-lapse monitoring should provide an indirect independent assessment of saturation variations to be used for reservoir management and reservoir simulator history matching purposes.

The surface-to-borehole CSEM pilot survey, with the technological solutions identified and the theoretical framework built around the interpretation of the data, provide very positive indications for the technology to be upscaled to a potential new oil field service, tackling the long-standing problem of waterflooding geophysical monitoring.
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<td>U.S. Patent 9,976,352, Grant Date: May 22, 2018</td>
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<td>U.S. Patent 9,976,392, Grant Date: May 22, 2018</td>
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<td>U.S. Patent 9,982,519, Grant Date: May 29, 2018</td>
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<td>U.S. Patent 9,983,106, Grant Date: May 29, 2018</td>
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Shale Gas Reservoir Development Strategies Using Complex Well Architectures Operating under Fixed Plateau Rate Conditions
Dr. Mari H. Alqahtani, Prof. Turgay Ertekin, and Sultan M. Almalki

ABSTRACT
In this study, artificial neural networks (ANN) were used to develop a shale gas reservoir expert system. The developed expert system provides solutions for complex wells instead of the typical massively hydraulically fractured horizontal wells (MHFHWs).

Holistic Evaluation of Water Cut in High GOR Wells for Better Production Management
Mohammad S. Al-Kadem, Dr. Dhafer A. Al-Shehri, Dr. Mohamed Mahmoud, and Dr. Rahul N. Gajbhiye

ABSTRACT
Water cut measurement becomes essential in fields where there is significant water production, especially when combined with gas production, making the measurement of all three phases difficult. In other words, water cut measured values are affected by the presence of gas near the surface. Therefore, the objective of this study is to estimate the water cut in high gas-oil ratio (GOR) wells with values greater than 2,000 standard cubic ft/stock tank barrel (scf/stb).1

Introduction of Real-Time Flow Measurements Opens New Paths to Overcome Challenges Encountered during the Acid Stimulation of Extended Reach Wells
Laurie S. Duthie, Hussain A. Al-Saiood, Hamad M. Almarri, and Danish Ahmed

ABSTRACT
Challenges related to matrix acid stimulation and fluid placement in extended reach horizontal wells are usually exaggerated, and demand a constant flow of innovation. The optimization of real-time fluid placement, increasing the reservoir contact and establishing uniform fluid distribution for better production/injection across the open hole interval, is one area that can benefit from these new innovations.

Estimating Transport Properties of Carbonate and Sandstone Rock Samples of Different Bedding Orientations: Digital Rock Physics and Laboratory Measurements
Abrar A. Alabbad and Dr. Jack Dvorkin

ABSTRACT
Using coarse resolution digital images to estimate the effective transport properties of a rock provides us with a large field of view, and a better representation of the rock’s textural heterogeneity. In this article, a digital rock physics (DRP) workflow was developed to estimate a rock’s porosity, permeability, and electrical resistivity, using the bulk density, \( \rho_b \), and photoelectric factor, \( P_f \), of the 3D data of nine core plugs that are comprised of sandstone. At each voxel, grain density, \( \rho_g \), was estimated by partitioning the sample between two minerals, and as such, porosity, \( \phi \), was calculated.